AYUSHDHARA
ISSN: 2393-9583 (P)/ 2393-9591 (O)
An International Journal of Research in AYUSH and Allied Systems

Review Article

# DYNAMICS OF *HETU-SADHYA-SAMBANDHA*: RECONSTRUCTING *VYAPTI* AS A CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC PREDICATE IN AYURVEDA

**Hetvi V. Ladani[1]*, Ajitsinh K. Gohil[2], Harsh N. Pandya[1], Apurva D. Maru[1]**

*[1]PG Scholar, [2]Assistant Professor, Department of PG Samhita and Siddhanta, Government Akhandanand Ayurveda College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.

**Article info**

**Article History:**
Received: 10-01-2026
Accepted: 13-02-2026
Published: 15-03-2026

**KEYWORDS:**
Ayurveda, *Vyapti, Anumana, Hetu-Sadhya-Sambandha,* Clinical Logic, Charaka Samhita, *Nyaya Darsana*, Integrative Medicine.

## ABSTRACT

The clinical diagnostic framework of Ayurveda integrates sensorial observation with structured logical inference, drawing primarily from the epistemological traditions of *Nyaya* and *Vaisesika Darsana*. Fundamental to this framework is the relationship between the etiological sign (*Hetu*) and the inferred clinical condition (*Sadhya*), established through the principle of *Vyapti* (invariable concomitance). In contrast to modern biomedical diagnostics, which increasingly emphasize structural and molecular identification via technological means, Ayurveda diagnostics- organized through the *Nidana Pancaka* and *Atura Pariksha*-focus on the functional assessment of *Dosa*, *Dhatu*, and *Mala* using rigorous logical deduction (*Anumana*). This report reconstructs *Vyapti* as a clinical diagnostic predicate and evaluates its function in facilitating the transition from observable symptoms (*Linga*) to unobservable pathological states. A comprehensive review of the *Charaka Samhita Vimana Sthana,* alongside developments in First-Order Logic (FOL) and Bayesian Networks, reveals a pragmatic divergence: Ayurveda prioritizes observable "cause-effect sets" rather than the absolute universals of formal logic. The report also examines the functions of *Tarka* (confutation) and *Hetvabhasa* (logical fallacies) in reducing diagnostic errors, contending that formalizing these ancient predicates is essential for minimizing "unwarranted clinical variation" and supporting the integration of Ayurveda into digital health expert systems. This synthesis underscores *Vyapti's* capability to bridge traditional knowledge and probabilistic-based machine learning, advancing a "Systems Ayurveda" approach to individualized medicine.

## INTRODUCTION

Prior to the advent of modern medical tests, physicians confronted a basic challenge: establishing a logical connection between observable symptoms or signs and the underlying pathological processes within the patient. This challenge represents the practical application of *Nyaya* logic, especially the concept of *Vyapti*.

Ayurveda conceptualizes health as the dynamic equilibrium of bodily constituents, termed *Dhatusamya*, rather than simply the absence of disease.[1] Achieving this state demands a complete understanding of the causal mechanisms of core health and illness, as articulated in the *Karya-Karana Siddhanta* (cause-effect theory).[2] In clinical practice, this conceptual framework is implemented through the system of *Pramana*, or valid means of knowledge.[3] Among these, *Anumana* (inference) is especially important for addressing the subtle and imperceptible dynamics of *Tridosa* and *Ojas*, which are not accessible through *Pratyaksa* (direct perception).[4]

The etymological structure of *Anumana*, combining *Anu* (after) and *Mana* (knowledge), signifies that a prior perception always precedes inferential cognition.[4] In the clinical encounter, the physician perceives a sign (*Linga*), such as the distinct quality of a pulse or a specific change in urine turbidity, and subsequently infers the state of the internal

Access this article online

Quick Response Code

https://doi.org/10.47070/ayushdhara.v13i1.2520

environment.[5] For example, detection of a slippery, soft and bounding pulse (*Mridu*, *Snigdha*, *Manda Gati*) may immediately suggest a predominance of *Kapha Dosa*, while a thin, rapid, and irregular pulse (*Tiksna*, *Sighra*, *Aniyata*) can signal aggravated *Vata Dosa*. Observation of a thick white coating on the tongue may indicate *Ama* accumulation, inducing further assessment for digestive impairment. The validity of this inference is entirely dependent on the strength of the *Vyapti*, which refers to the invariable and unconditional relationship between the sign (*Hetu*) and the diagnosis (*Sadhya*).[6]

Contemporary diagnostic systems face challenges such as overdiagnosis, rising healthcare costs, and a high incidence of diagnostic errors, often linked to cognitive biases and fragmented clinical assessments.[7] Ayurveda provides an individualized, clinically focused framework that emphasizes functional assessment rather than simple disease labelling.[8] Nevertheless, the application of classical logical tools in current scenarios is often impeded by "professional uncertainty" stemming from the abstractness of Sanskrit texts and regional interpretive differences.[9]

Reconstructing the dynamics of *Hetu–Sadhya–Sambandha* as a formalized diagnostic predicate is urgently required.[10] This process requires a critical analysis of *Vyapti* as the comprehensive framework for standardizing and modernizing Ayurveda clinical diagnostics.[11]

## Systematic Review Parameters and Analytical Scheme

This research report utilizes a narrative and conceptual review framework to examine etiological link between observation and conclusion, thereby ensuring that diagnostic procedures are robust, reproducible, and academically rigorous.[12] By integrating the formal logic of *Nyaya Darsana* with the clinical pragmatism of the *Charaka Samhita*, and carrying these principles towards First-Order Logic and Bayesian Networks, this report seeks to establish a he intersection of classical Indian logic and Ayurveda clinical practice. The primary objective is to assess how the philosophical construct of *Vyapti* may be revalidated as a contemporary diagnostic predicate suitable for Scopus-level academic discussion and integrative medical applications.

## Information Sourcing and Inclusion Criteria

The data analyzed in this report are synthesized from a wide array of primary and secondary sources. Primary sources include the *Brhat-trayi* (the three great classics: *Charaka Samhita*, *Sushruta Samhita*, and *Astanga Hrdayam*), with a specific focus on the *Vimana Sthana* (Section of Measurement and Logic) and *Sutra Sthana*.[13] Philosophical foundations are drawn from the *Nyaya Sutra* of *Aksapada Gautama* and various commentaries such as those of *Chakrapani*.[11]

Secondary sources consist of peer-reviewed journal articles from databases including PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and the Digital Library of India.[6] Inclusion criteria focused on articles that:

1. Discuss the historical influence of *Nyaya Darsana* on *Charaka Samhita*.[14]
2. Provide clinical case examples of *Anumana* in diseases like *Pandu* and *Prameha*.[4]
3. Explore the formalization of *Ayurveda* logic using First-Order Logic (FOL) or Bayesian-based Machine Learning.[11]
4. Analyze diagnostic reliability and practice variability in Ayurveda.[9]

## Analytical Scheme for Logical Reconstruction

The reconstruction process follows a multi-tiered analytical scheme:

1. **Classical Deconstruction:** Identifying the components of inference (*Hetu*, *Sadhya*, *Paksa*) and their specific definitions in both the logician's (*Naiyayika*) and the physician's (*Vaidya*) context.[5]
2. **Pathogenetic Mapping:** Integrating *Vyapti* into the stages of *Nidana Pancaka* (five-fold diagnosis) and *Sat Kriyakala* (six stages of disease progression).[7]
3. **Formalization:** Translating *Sanskrit* propositions into mathematical and logical notation to assess comparability with modern statistical and computing models.[6]
4. **Clinical Validation:** Evaluating the relevance of logical fallacies (*Hetvabhasa* and *Ahetu*) in preventing modern diagnostic errors.[12]

## Classical Foundations: The *Nyaya*–Ayurveda Interface

The logical structure of *Ayurveda* is not an isolated development but is closely connected to the *Darsanas* (philosophical schools), particularly *Nyaya* and *Vaisesika*.[14] While *Nyaya* provides the method of inquiry, *Vaisesika* offers the on to logical framework of the universe, including the *Sad-padartha* (six categories of existence: *Dravya*, *Guna*, *Karma*, *Samanya*, *Visesa*, and *Samavaya*).[15]

## The 16 *Padarthas* of *Nyaya Darsana*

The *Nyaya Sutra* identifies sixteen categories (*Padarthas*) essential for attaining valid knowledge and, ultimately, liberation (*Apavarga*).[14] These categories constitute the foundation of the *Vadamarga* (ways of debate) described in *Charaka Samhita Vimana Sthana* 8, which is important for the scientific validation of medical theories.

**Table 1: Correlation of *Nyaya Sodasa Padarthas* with Clinical and Research Applications in *Ayurveda***

| ***Nyaya Padartha*** | **Translation/Meaning** | **Clinical/Research Application** |
|---|---|---|
| *Pramana* | Means of valid knowledge | The diagnostic tools: *Pratyaksa*, *Anumana*, etc.[14] |
| *Prameya* | Object of right knowledge | The patient, disease, and *Dosic* state[14] |
| *Samsaya* | Doubt | The starting point of clinical differential diagnosis[14] |
| *Prayojana* | Purpose/Aim | The goal of treatment: *Dhatusamya* *[16]* |
| *Drstanta* | Familiar example | Case reports used to establish *Vyapti*[14] |
| *Siddhanta* | Accepted truth/Doctrine | Established protocols of *Chikitsa* [14] |
| *Avayava* | Members of syllogism | The five steps of a diagnostic conclusion [14] |
| *Tarka* | Hypothetical reasoning | Confutation used to test the validity of a diagnosis [14] |
| *Nirnaya* | Final ascertainment | Reaching a confirmed diagnosis [14] |
| *Vada* | Discussion | Scientific discourse among physicians [14] |
| *Jalpa* | Wrangling/Debate | Defending a clinical proposition with vigor [14] |
| *Vitanda* | Cavil | Challenging an opponent's logic without a counter-position [14] |
| *Hetvabhasa* | Fallacy of reason | Identifying erroneous clinical indicators [12] |
| *Chala* | Quibble | Misinterpreting an opponent's words during debate [14] |
| *Jati* | Futile objection | Objections based on superficial similarity [14] |
| *Nigrahasthana* | Point of defeat | Identifying the failure of a diagnostic argument [14] |

*Acharya Charaka* adapted these categories into a professional code for physicians, stressing that knowledge is not fixed but must be constantly improved through debate and pedagogical exchange (*Tadvidya Sambhasa*).[17]

### ***Pramana*: The Diagnostic Instruments**

While *Nyaya* generally recognises four *Pramanas* (*Pratyaksa*, *Anumana*, *Upamana*, and *Sabda*), the *Charaka Samhita* modifies this according to clinical necessity.[16] At different stages, *Charaka* proposes three or four *Pramanas*, often categorizing *Upamana* (analogy) and *Sabda* (testimony) under *Aptopadesa* or the wider context of scientific terminology (*Vadamarga*). [18]

*Charaka's* distinctive contribution is *Yukti Pramana*, which represents the logic of "rational planning" or "multiple causality".[4] This approach anticipates the difficulties of modern clinical research by constituting a "systems approach" to medicine. [19] Whereas *Anumana* infers a single cause from a sign, *Yukti* recognizes that clinical outcomes result from the combination of multiple factors, such as *Dravya*, *Kala*, and *Karma*. [16]

### Conceptual Analysis: The Dynamics of *Vyapti*

The validity of any inferential diagnosis relies on the *Vyapti*- the logical ground of *Anumana*. [20] Without *Vyapti*, the relationship between a sign and a condition is merely coincidental, a fallacy described as *Ghunaksara Nyaya* (the chance design made by an insect in wood). [21]

### Defining the Logical Bridge

*Vyapti* is etymologically derived from *Vi* + *Apti*, meaning the state of pervasion.[22] It implies a definite, non-conditioned, and universal correlation between the *Vyapya* (the pervaded or the sign/reason) and the *Vyapaka* (the pervade or the inferred object). [22]

In the standard example, Smoke is the *Vyapya* and fire is the *Vyapaka*. Smoke is always pervaded by fire; wherever there is smoke, there is fire. However, the reverse is not always true (e.g., a red-hot iron ball contains fire but no smoke), illustrating that *Vyapti* is often a directional relationship. [11] This is critical in diagnostics: while a specific tongue coating might always imply *Ama* (toxins), *Ama* may not always manifest through that specific coating. [23]

**Table 2: Structural Components of *Nyaya Anumana* and Their Clinical Correlates in Ayurveda**

| Logical Term | Sanskrit Term | Definition in Ayurveda |
|---|---|---|
| Minor Term | *Paksa* | The locus of inference (the patient or the specific tissue) [5] |
| Middle Term | *Hetu / Linga* | The sign or reason (the clinical symptom or etiological factor) [5] |
| Major Term | *Sadhya* | The object to be proved (the diagnosis or the state of *Dosas*) [5] |
| Invariable Relation | *Vyapti* | The universal bond between *Hetu* and *Sadhya* [5] |
| Subjective Consideration | *Paramarsa* | The physician's cognitive act of applying the *Vyapti* to the current patient [6] |

**Varieties of Inference and Their Clinical Mapping**

Ayurveda and *Nyaya* classify *Anumana* into different types based on the temporal and causal nature of the relationship, which has direct parallels in modern observational study designs. [5]

1. ***Purvavat Anumana* (Causal Inference)**: Inferring the effect from the cause. In clinical terms, this is predicting the onset of disease based on exposure to etiological factors (e.g., inferring future anemia from the habit of eating clay). [4] This corresponds to a **Prospective Cohort Study**. [24]
2. ***Sesavat Anumana* (Effect-based Inference):** Inferring the cause from the effect. Analyzing manifest symptoms to identify the underlying *Dosic* imbalance or past exposure (e.g., inferring past coitus from pregnancy or past infection from current antibodies). [5] This corresponds to a **Retrospective Case-Control Study**. [24]
3. ***Samanyatodrsta Anumana* (General Correlation):** Inference based on continuous co-existence or analogy without a direct causal link (e.g., inferring the movement of the sun from its change in position, or inferring the state of internal organs from external skin changes).[18] This corresponds to a **Cross-sectional Study**. [24]

**The Role of *Upadhi* (Conditional Factors)**

A universal relation is only valid if it is "unconditioned." Any factor that limits the universality of a *Vyapti* is called an *Upadhi*.[11] In the smoke-fire example, "damp firewood" is the *Upadhi* that allows smoke to manifest. In contemporary clinical research, *Upadhi* can be directly mapped to the modern concept of a "confounder." That is, *Upadhi* ≈ confounder: both conceptually describe additional factors that obscure or restrict the validity of an observed association. [25] In medicine, *Upadhis* are confounding variables, such as the patient's *Kala* (season), *Desa* (geography), and *Agni* (digestive fire). [9] For example, a symptom (*Hetu*) like fever may suggest malaria (*Sadhya*), but the presence of an *Upadhi* such as a concurrent viral infection (e.g., dengue co-infection) may mislead diagnosis if not carefully considered. This highlights the practical need for vigilance, as a symptom (*Hetu*) may only lead to a specific disease (*Sadhya*) if certain *Upadhis* (such as a weakened immune system or specific dietary triggers) are present.

**Pathogenetic Integration: *Vyapti* in *Nidana Pancaka***

*Ayurveda* diagnostics are structured as a dynamic, evolving process rather than a single event. [26] The *Nidana Panchaka* serves as the logical framework for understanding this evolution. [27]

**The Five Determinants as Logical Steps**

1. ***Nidana* (Etiology):** The starting point of the pathogenetic chain. The physician identifies the primary *Hetu* (causes) that initiated the *Dosa* vitiation. [28,29]
2. ***Purvarupa* (Prodromal Features):** These are "rudimentary signs" used for *Purvavat Anumana*. They indicate the *Vyapti* is being activated but the *Sadhya* (disease) is not yet fully manifest. [29]
3. ***Rupa* (Clinical Signs):** The fully developed symptoms that confirm the *Sadhya* through *Sesavat Anumana*. This is the point of diagnostic certainty.[29]
4. ***Upasaya* (Therapeutic Response):** This acts as a "diagnostic verification." If a drug for *Vata* relieves the pain, the *Vyapti* between the symptom and *Vata* is confirmed. It is effectively a small-scale "N-of-1 trial". [29,30]
5. ***Samprapti* (Pathogenesis):** The entire logical sequence from *Nidana* to *Rupa*. It describes the "how" of the *Hetu–Sadhya* connection, detailing the movement of *Dosas* through the *Srotas* (channels).[31]

**Case Study: *Prameha* (Diabetes Mellitus)**

In the diagnosis of *Prameha*, the primary *Vyapti* is established between the sign *Prabhuta-Avila-Mutrata* (excessive, turbid urination) and the disease. [32]

- ***Hetu*:** Sedentary lifestyle, excessive intake of sweets and dairy (*Kapha-Meda Vardhakaahara*).[32]
- ***Sadhya*:** The diagnosis of *Prameha*.

- **Logical Bridge:** The presence of turbidity (*Avilata*) is inferred as the presence of "waste products" (*Mala*) or tissues (*Dusyas*) being excreted due to poor metabolic fire (managing). [32]
- **Quantitative Logic:** Modern Ayurveda diagnostics use formulas such as "Urine output ml/kg/hrs." to quantify *Prabhutata* (excessive volume), thereby grounding the classical *Vyapti* in objective data. [33]

**Comparative Logic: Reconstructing Predicates for the Digital Era**

As Ayurveda adapts to contemporary research standards, its logical foundations are being re-evaluated through the lens of formal logic and computational intelligence. [11]

**First-Order Logic (FOL) Mapping**

Classical Ayurveda texts, though written in *Sanskrit*, display a unique juncture between propositional and First-Order Logic. [11] Sentences are often universal or existential in nature.

For example, the statement from *Charaka Vimana Sthana* 8 regarding the composition of substances can be formalized:

- ***Sanskrit***: "Substances are composed of many tastes."
- **FOL Predicate**: $\forall x$ (Substance(x) $\rightarrow \exists y$ (Taste(y) $\wedge$ Has (x, y))).[11]
- In plain English, this means: For every entity x, if x is a substance, then there is at least one taste y such that x possesses y as a taste. This illustrates how classical Ayurveda statements can be translated into universal logical forms, making the principles accessible and allowing computational processing even for those without a background in mathematical logic.

This formalization allows Ayurveda principles to be treated as "logical predicates" in a computer-readable format, facilitating the development of automated diagnostic tools. [11]

**Bayesian Networks and Probabilistic Diagnostics**

While *Vyapti* implies a deterministic "Invariable Concomitance," real-world medical diagnosis is often probabilistic. [34] Bayesian Networks (BNs) are particularly suited for this, as they leverage Bayes' Theorem to model dependencies and cause-and-effect relationships under conditions of uncertainty. [35]

A Bayesian Network for *Prakrti* diagnosis, for instance, uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) where nodes represent physiological traits and arcs represent probabilistic influences.[35] Research utilizing Multinomial Naïve Bayes (MNB) has shown that *Prakrti* can be classified into seven classes (*Ekadosaja*, *Dvandosaja*, and *Tridosaja*) with an accuracy of up to 0.93. [36]

**Table 3: Performance Evaluation of Multinomial Naive Bayes Model in *Dosa* Classification**

| Machine Learning Metric | Value (Multinomial Naive Bayes) | Clinical Interpretation |
|---|---|---|
| Accuracy | 0.90 – 0.93 | High reliability in identifying *Dosic* types [36] |
| Precision | 0.73 – 0.81 | Capability to correctly identify true positives in *Prakrti* features [36] |
| F-score | 0.90 – 0.91 | Balanced harmonic mean of precision and recall [36] |
| Recall | 0.90 – 0.93 | Ability to capture all relevant instances of a *Dosa* class [36] |

**Statistical Correlations and *Vyapti***

From a research methodology perspective, *Vyapti* is synonymous with a "High Correlation". [6]

- ***Anvaya Vyapti***: Positive correlation (as increases, increases).
- ***Vyatireka Vyapti***: Negative correlation (as decreases, decreases). By mapping *Vyapti* to the "correlation coefficient" and *Paksa* to the "statistical sample," researchers can validate Ayurveda diagnostics using contemporary biostatistics.[37,6]

**Contemporary Relevance: Navigating Diagnostic Uncertainty**

Modern medicine often faces a "scientific stalemate" in which technological advances do not always translate into better patient outcomes. [38] Ayurveda's logic-driven approach addresses several contemporary gaps.

**Reducing Unwarranted Clinical Variation**

Variation in practice is common, but "unwarranted variation"- which cannot be explained by patient risk factors or preferences- leads to misuse of resources and diagnostic errors. [9] Ayurveda's subjective nature is often seen as a strength of personalization, but it requires a "rigorous mesh" of logic to remain scientific. [9]

The implementation of structured Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs) based on the *Nidana Pancaka* can standardize the diagnostic "algorithm"

while allowing for "precision-based" therapeutic adjustments based on the patient's *Prakrti* and *Vikrti*.[9]

**Strengthening Bedside Reasoning**

Over-dependence on laboratory investigations can weaken clinical reasoning and reduce meaningful doctor-patient interaction.[7] Ayurveda diagnostic methods, such as *Trividha Pariksha* (three-fold examination: observation, palpation, interrogation) and *Astavidha Pariksha* (eight-fold examination: pulse, urine, tongue, etc.), often provide functional clues before structural pathology is visible on imaging. [39,7]

**Table 4: Comparative Mapping of Ayurveda *Pariksha* Tools and Modern Clinical Assessments**

| Parameter | Clinical Clue Provided | Contemporary Correlation |
|---|---|---|
| *Nadi* (Pulse) | Rhythms associated with specific *Dosas* | Heart Rate Variability/Pulse Wave Analysis [23] |
| *Jihva* (Tongue) | Coating, color, and texture indicating toxins (*Ama*) | Microbiome indicators/Systemic metabolic waste [23] |
| *Mutra* (Urine) | Turbidity and volume (*Prameha* signs) | Specific gravity, pH, and sediment analysis [33] |
| *Sparsa* (Touch) | Temperature and consistency | Assessment of inflammatory and infectious states [40] |

**Mitigating Cognitive Errors via *Hetvabhasa***

Diagnostic errors often arise from cognitive biases, such as "premature closure." This bias, where a clinician settles too quickly on a single diagnosis and disregards alternative possibilities, closely parallels the *Hetvabhasa* known as *Savyabhicara* (Inconsistent Reason) in *Nyaya* logic, where a reason does not reliably distinguish the disease state. By explicitly pairing contemporary diagnostic pitfalls like premature closure with their classical *Hetvabhasa* counterparts, the relevance of ancient logic for modern clinical reasoning becomes immediately apparent. The *Nyaya* concept of *Hetvabhasa* (pseudo-reasons) thus provides a detailed framework for evaluating the quality of medical arguments and preventing such errors. [12]

1. ***Savyabhicara* (Inconsistent Reason)**: A sign that is present in both the diseased and healthy states. A physician must avoid relying on such non-specific markers for a definitive diagnosis. [12]
2. ***Viruddha* (Contradictory Reason)**: Evidence that actually disproves the physician's hypothesis. Recognizing this is crucial for correcting a flawed treatment plan. [12]
3. ***Badhita* (Hindered Reason)**: When a logical inference is contradicted by a stronger means of knowledge, such as direct observation (e.g., trying to infer fire when the subject is clearly submerged in water). [41]

By incorporating these ancient logical checks into medical education, practitioners can develop a more "skeptical and humane" clinical mind. [42]

**Thematic Synthesis: Reconstructing the Physician as a Logician**

The *Charaka Samhita Vimana Sthana* defines the physician not just as a healer but as an intellectual expert who must possess "comprehensive knowledge" (*Rogabhisagjitiya*). [43]

**The Dialogical Pedagogy**

Knowledge in the *Charaka Samhita* is transmitted through the *Guru-Sisya* (teacher-disciple) model, often structured as a conversation between *Atreya* and *Agnivesha*.[17] This dialogical style facilitates "dynamic pedagogy" and "critical reasoning," as the disciple is encouraged to question and the teacher is required to provide logical justifications. [17] In contemporary medical education, this model finds a close parallel in forums such as grand rounds or morbidity and mortality (M&M) conferences, where open dialogue and rigorous debate drive the reasoning process. This methodology mirrors modern "evidence-based" rounds where clinical decisions are debated and verified through consensus.[9]

**Measurement and Quantification (*Vimana*)**

The term *Vimana* literally means "specific measurement" or "standardization".[44] This section of the *Charaka Samhita* is essentially a manual for research and diagnostic quantification. It specifies how to measure the "severity of *Dosa* vitiation" so that the physician does not under-treat or over-treat the patient. [45]

One of the most vital components of this section is the *Dasavidha Atura Pariksha* (ten-fold patient examination), which ensures that the *Hetu–Sadhya* relation is individualized to the specific host environment. [46,47]

**Table 5: *Dasavidha Aturapariksa* and Its Impact on Diagnostic Reasoning in Ayurveda**

| Factor | Definition/Utility | Impact on Diagnostic Logic |
|---|---|---|
| *Prakrti* | Body constitution | Defines the baseline "Normal" for the individual [48] |
| *Vikrti* | *Doshic* vitiation | Measures the degree of deviation from the baseline [48] |
| *Sara* | Tissue quality | Indicates the structural integrity and immunity [48] |
| *Samhanana* | Compactness | Assesses physical build and healing capacity [48] |
| *Pramana* | Proportionality | Relates to the general structure and lifespan [48] |
| *Satmya* | Adaptability | Measures the capacity to adjust to unsettling factors [48] |
| *Satva* | Mental strength | Determines the psychological tolerance of pain/disease [48] |
| *Ahara-sakti* | Digestive capacity | Assesses the strength of *Agni* for drug metabolism [48] |
| *Vyayama-sakti* | Physical strength | Measured by endurance and capacity for exertion [48] |
| *Vaya* | Age | Crucial for dosage and prognosis determination [49] |

Analysis of these ten variables ensures that the application of *Vyapti* functions as a "personalized predicate" rather than a purely abstract rule. [9]

**Critical Appraisal: Strengths and Limitations of the Logic-Based Approach**

**Strengths**

1. **Functional Early Detection:** The logic of *Nidāna Pañcaka* allows for the detection of "prodromal" states, facilitating preventive interventions long before tissue damage becomes irreversible. [29]
2. **Individualisation:** Unlike the standardised RCT model, which often treats the "average" patient, Ayurveda logic is inherently individualised, accounting for the subject's unique *Prakṛti* and *Vikṛti*. [9]
3. **Robust Epistemology:** The integration of *Nyāya* provides a rigorous structure for debate and verification, making the system self-correcting and academically transparent. [17]

**Limitations and Challenges**

1. **Complexity of Parameters**: The "combinatorial explosion" of causative factors in Ayurveda (multiple drugs, therapies, lifestyle changes) makes it difficult to predetermine filters for standard clinical trials. [50,51]
2. **Subjectivity of Interpretation**: While the logic is structured, the perception of the sign (*Hetu*)- such as pulse quality-still depends on the individual physician's sensory refinement, leading to reliability challenges. [6]
3. **Sanskrit Barrier**: The abstract and technical nature of classical *Sanskrit* terminologies can lead to "professional uncertainty" if not effectively deciphered for contemporary clinical needs. [9]

**Scholarly Implications and Future Research Directions**

The reconstruction of *Vyapti* as a clinical predicate opens several avenues for the scientific advancement of Ayurveda.

**Systems Ayurveda and Logic Modelling**

There is a growing emphasis on "Systems *Ayurveda*," which uses entity-relationship notation and systems biology concepts to illustrate the multi-dimensional, many-to-many cause-and-effect relations in Ayurveda. 1 Subsequent research should focus on creating "Treatment Algorithms" where the logic of the diagnostic procedure itself is the object of study, rather than just the herbal formulation. [51]

**Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics**

The success of Bayesian models in *Prakrti* classification suggests that Ayurveda is naturally "AI-ready." Future studies should expand this into "*Vikrti* prediction," using large datasets of symptoms and outcomes to identify hidden *Vyapti* correlations that may elude human practitioners. [52]

**Standardization of Reference and Citation**

To achieve Scopus-level recognition, Ayurveda scholars must adopt standardized referencing guidelines. The *Charaka Samhita* New Edition and digital platforms provide models for quoting classical verses in a way that is verifiable by global researchers.[53] The use of Vancouver style for traditional book treasures ensures that the research has a factual basis and avoids charges of plagiarism. [54]

**CONCLUSION**

The dynamics of *Hetu–Sadhya–Sambandha* provide a timeless logical framework for negotiating the complexities of human health and disease. By reconstructing *Vyapti* as a formalized clinical predicate, Ayurveda can bridge the gap between

ancient wisdom and modern computational science. This approach transforms diagnosis from mere symptom labelling into a systematic inquiry into the "invariable concomitance" of functional imbalances.

Whereas challenges in standardization and clinical variability remain, the integration of *Nyaya* proof theory with modern First-Order Logic and Bayesian inference offers a path toward a more precise, personalized, and evidence-driven system of medicine. Ultimately, the "Thinking Healer" of the future will be one who can act like a scientist, think like a philosopher, and feel like a human-using the rigorous logic of *Vyapti* to turn the noise of symptoms into the clarity of significance.

**Research Gap Statement**

There is a critical lack of standardized, computer-readable formalizations of Ayurveda pathogenic chains (*Samprapti*), which prevents the integration of classical diagnostic logic into modern medical artificial intelligence and Clinical Practice Guidelines.

**REFERENCES**


1. Systems Ayurveda. AYUSH Centre of Excellence, Savitribai Phule Pune University;. Available from: https://www.ayushcoe.in/systems-ayurveda.php
2. Teggi SM, Sajjanshetty MR, Katti BH. An approach to Kaarya Karana Vaada in context to Prakruti Sama Samveta and Vikruti Vishama Samvetha. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci 2020 Feb 29.; 5(01): 232–236. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/844
3. Jain S, Bithu GS, Shantanu, Marolia P, Sunita. Pramana: An Ayurvedic examination method. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci. 2025 Jun 3.; 10(4): 137–141. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/4218
4. Pooja T, Prarthana T, Sahana C. Anumana Pramana and its scope as a diagnostic and research tool. Int J Health Sci Res. 2020 Oct.; 10(10): 264–278. Available from: https://www.ijhsr.org/IJHSR_Vol.10_Issue.10_Oct2020/IJHSR_Abstract.035.html
5. Joglekar A, Bhojani M. Anumana pramana. March 2022. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361164658_Anumana_pramana
6. Biswas C. Statistical interpretation of Anumana Pramana with special reference to Linga Paramarsha - a review. J Ayur Herb Med. 2022 Jan–Mar.; 8(1): 27–31. DOI: 10.31254/jahm.2022.8108. Available from: https://www.wisdomlib.org/uploads/journals/ayurvedjournal/2022-volume-8-issue-1-january-march-jahm-202281-08.pdf
7. Khairnar K, Kripashree, Mouri K, Chainani C. Modernizing tradition: Integrating Ayurvedic diagnostic wisdom with modern medical science. World J Biol Pharm Health Sci. 2025 Dec.; 24(3): 82-085]. Available from: https://journalwjbphs.com/sites/default/files/fulltext_pdf/WJBPHS-2025-1050.pdf
8. Kessler CS, Morandi A, Kumar A, Dhiman KS, Gupta S, Icke K, Bühner C, Stapelfeldt E, Wischnewsky M, Kronpaß L, Murthy V, Michalsen A, Witt CM. Reliability of Ayurvedic diagnosis for knee osteoarthritis patients: a nested diagnostic study within a randomized controlled trial. J Altern Complement Med. 2019 Sep; 25(9): 910–919. doi: 10.1089/acm.2018.0273. PMID: 30653338; PMCID: PMC6748397. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6748397/
9. Ashwathykutty V, Payyappallimana U, Rakesh Narayanan V. Addressing clinical practice variability in Ayurveda: An urgent need for developing clinical practice guidelines. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2025 Sep-Oct;16(5):101201. doi: 10.1016/j.jaim.2025.101201. Epub 2025 Aug 30. PMID: 40886521; PMCID: PMC12418824.
10. Shandilya P, Shreevathsa M. Vyadhi Sankara – concept of differential diagnosis in Ayurveda. J Ayurveda Holist Med. 2016 Apr 16.; 4(1): 24–31. doi:10.70066/jahm.v4i1.295. Available from: https://jahm.co.in/index.php/jahm/article/view/295
11. Ambasana S, Shukla VJ, Vyas M. Nyaya and FOL w.s.r Charak Samhita Vimansthan - pragmatic view. Artificial Intelligent Syst Mach Learn. 2016 Feb.; 8(2): 57–61. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313924473_Nyaya_and_FOL_wsr_Charak_Samhita_Vimansthan_-_Pragmatic_view
12. Biswas C. Review of Charakokta Ahetu and Nayiakika views in Hetwabhasa. World J Pharm Res. 2022 Feb.; 11(2): [page numbers]. doi:10.20959/wjpr20222-22846. Available from: https://www.wisdomlib.org/science/journal/world-journal-of-pharmaceutical-research/d/doc1384007.html
13. Referencing guidelines– Charak Samhita. Charak Samhita Online.com; Available from: https://www.carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php/Referencing_guidelines.
14. Katyar P, Kumar V. Fundamentals of Nyaya Darshan and their influence upon Charaka Samhita. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci. 2023 Dec 08.; 8(10): 127–133. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/2678

15. Patil S. Application of Karya-Karana Siddhanta in clinical diagnosis. J Emerg Technol Innov Res. 2025 Mar.; 12(3): [page numbers]. Available from: https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2503955.pdf
16. Vats A, Bharadwaj S. The concept of research in reference to Charaka Samhita. Unique J Ayurvedic Herbal Med. 2015 Jul-Aug.; 3(4): 99–102. Available from: https://www.ujconline.net/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/22-UJAHM-15231-Rv.pdf
17. Naik N V, Mumbaraddi S, Kulkarni S. A critical literary analysis of the dialogical style in Charaka Samhita. J ReAttach Therapy Dev Divers. 2022 Dec.; 5(2): 627–631. doi:10.53555/jrtdd.v5i2. 3625. Available from: https://jrtdd.com/index.php/journal/article/view/3625
18. Kumar A, Singh R. A review on concept of Pramana in Nyaya Darshana and Charak Samhita. 2023. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377339165_A_Review_On_Concept_Of_Pramana_In_Nyaya_Darshana_And_Charak_Samhita
19. Punia A, Chate S, Tubaki BR, Himaja N. Efficacy of whole system Ayurveda management protocol in major depressive disorder- a randomized controlled clinical trial. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2024 Mar–Apr.; 15(2): 100896. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0975947624000111
20. Balakrishna SC, Rashmi BV, Kalpana P, Rekha KBP. Critical analysis of Upashaya as a diagnostic tool in Annavahasroto Vikaras – a literary study. J Ayurveda Holist Med. 2023 Oct 21.; 11(9): [page numbers]. doi:10.70066/jahm.v11i9.1028. Available from: https://jahm.co.in/index.php/jahm/article/view/1028
21. Vyas MK, Dwivedi R. Practical applicability of Nyayas – (Maxims) mentioned in Chakrapani Tika. Ayu. 2014 Jul-Sep.; 35(3): 227–230. doi:10.4103/0974-8520.153730. PMID: 26664230; PMCID: PMC4649567. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/ PMC4649567/
22. Chakravarty S. (D). Vyāpti and Pakṣadharmatā. WisdomLib Hinduism Essay: Anumana in Indian Philosophy. 12 Mar 2022. Available from: https://www.wisdomlib.org/hinduism/essay/anumana-in-indian-philosophy/d/doc1085487.html
23. Ayurveda Institute UK. A guide to strengthening diagnostic skills using Ayurvedic approaches. 20 Aug 2024. Available from: https://ayurvedainstitute.co.uk/your-guide-to-refining-ayurvedic-diagnosis-skills/
24. Vinodkumar MV, Anoop AK. Review on comparability of 'classical' and 'contemporary' research methods in the context of Ayurveda. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2020 Oct–Dec.; 11(4): 539–546. doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2019.02.005. PMID: 31387787; PMCID:PMC7772492. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7772492/
25. Chavan S, Gupta V, Deshmukh V, Sardeshmukh S. Integrating Ayurvedic philosophy with modern technologies for drug research and development: a critical need of mechanistic insights for wider acceptability. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2024 Sep–Oct.; 15(5): 100954. Doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2024.100954. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S097594762400069X
26. Seshan S, Sharma MM, Prakash V. Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Ayurveda Diagnostics. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci. 2025 Feb 3.; 9(11): 213–218. doi:10.21760/jaims.9.11.30. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/3751
27. Sawant V, Ashu, Parida A, Prasad NA. Nidana Panchaka – a tool of diagnosis in Ayurveda. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci. 2019 Feb 28.; 4(01): 61–65. Doi:10.21760/jaims.v4i01.572. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/572
28. Meher RK, Dora SR, Gavali P, Sharma SK. Understanding the concept of Nidana and its clinical approach– a comprehensive view. Ayushdhara. 2022 May 12.; 9(2):133-137. doi:10.47070/ayushdhara.v9i2.875. Available from: https://ayushdhara.in/index.php/ayushdhara/article/view/875
29. Khairnar K, Kripashree, Mouri K, Chainani C. Integrating Ayurvedic diagnostic wisdom with modern medical science. World J Biol Pharm Health Sci. 2025.; 7(3): 1050–1057. Available from: https://journalwjbphs.com/sites/default/files/fulltext_pdf/WJBPHS-2025-1050.pdf
30. Chatterjee A, Subramaniam S, Sankaranarayanan A. Scientific evaluation of Ayurvedic drugs - the use of N-of-1 clinical trials. Indian J Med Ethics. 2024 Jan-Mar.; 9(1): 41–44. Doi:10.20529/IJME. 2023.067. Available from: https://ijme.in/ articles/scientific-evaluation-of-ayurvedic-drugs-the-use-of-n-of-1-clinical-trials/
31. H.D. DR, DR PRASHANTH A S. A critical review on etio-pathogenesis (Naidanika Samprapti) of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (Yakrit Roga). J Ayurveda Holist Med. 2021 Feb 21.; 8(6). Available from: https://jahm.co.in/index.php/jahm/article/view/74
32. Yadav U, Bhatted SK. Efficacy of Vamana Karma (therapeutic emesis) followed by Darvyadi Kwatha (herbal decoction) in the management of prediabetes (Prameha) – a single-arm clinical trial.

Ayu. 2021 Jan-Mar.; 42(1): 30–34. doi:10.4103/ayu.ayu_417_20; PMID: 36743277; PMCID: PMC9893903.

33. Dr. Surya S, Dr. Rajalekshmi S, Dr. Ananta S Desai. An overview of the symptoms of Prameha and its accurate diagnosis based on Ayurveda-An Ayurvedic diagnostic tool to Prameha. Int J Res Acad World. 2022 Oct.; 1(14): 43–44. Available from: https://academicjournal.ijraw.com/media/post/IJRAW-1-14-11.1.pdf
34. Baragi UC, Ganer JM. Innovative Ayurvedic formulations: Bridging tradition with modern science & technology. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci. 2025 Jun.; 10(6): 1–3. doi:10.21760/jaims.10.6.1. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/ 4800
35. Fernández-del-Castillo E, Petriu EM, Rahayu WZ. Bayesian networks in biomedicine and health-care. 2012. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224818117_Bayesian_Networks_in_biomedicine_and_health-care
36. Patil S, Singh R, Kulkarni S. Enhancing Ayurvedic diagnosis using multinomial naïve Bayes classification. arXiv [Preprint]. 2023 Oct 4.; arXiv: 2310.02920. Available from: https:// arxiv.org/pdf/2310.02920
37. Joshi RR. A biostatistical approach to Ayurveda: quantifying the tridosha. J Altern Complement Med. 2004 Oct; 10(5): 879–889. Doi:10.1089/acm.2004.10.879. Available from: https:// journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1089/acm.2004. 10.879
38. Singh RH. Exploring issues in the development of Ayurvedic research methodology. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2010 Apr-Jun.; 1(2): 91–95. doi:10.4103/0975-9476.65067. PMID:21836794; PMCID:PMC3151394. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3151394/
39. Tripathi AK, Singh RH. Role of Nadi Pariksa in the diagnosis of Udar Roga. Anc Sci Life. 1994 Jan-Jun.; 13(3-4): 248–252. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224897895_Role_of_nadi_pariksa_in_the_diagnosis_of_udar_roga
40. Yadav PV. Importance of diagnostic approaches in Ayurveda and modern science: a review. Int J AYUSH. 2021.; 10(2): 53–59. Available from: https://internationaljournal.org.in/journal/index.php/ijayush/article/viewFile/416/407
41. Unknown author(s). All about Vyapti Hetu. Scribd;. Available from: https://www.scribd.com/document/747479334/all-about-vyapti-hetu-english-file-321661258616928
42. Thinking Healer. Why logic still matters in the age of AI in medicine. 2025.. Available from: https://thinkinghealer.com/techcare/why-logic-still-matters-in-the-age-of-ai/
43. Bidgar VS, Dande VB. Clinical application of Vimana Sthana in the present era. Int J Pharm Res Appl. 2024 Sep-Oct.; 9(5): 898–901. doi:10.35629/4494-0905898901. Available from: https://ijprajournal.com/issue_dcp/Clinical%20Application%20of%20Vimana%20Sthana%20in%20the%20Present%20Era.pdf
44. Rastogi S, Mishra LC. The Vimana Sthana of the Charaka Samhita as a knowledge and measurement unit. Anchor Academic Publishing; Available from: https://m.anchor-publishing.com / document/341216
45. Vimana Sthana– Charak Samhita. Charak Samhita Online.com. Available from: https://www.Caraka samhitaonline.com/index.php/Vimana_Sthana
46. Kumawat A, Jaiswal RT, Ram M. Understanding Dashavidha Atura Pariksha: a classical diagnostic framework in Ayurveda. J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci. 2025 Dec 13.; 10(11): 284–286. doi:10.21760/jaims.10.11.38. Available from: https://jaims.in/jaims/article/view/5572
47. Sharma R, Gupta S. Importance and utility of Charak Samhita Vimanasthan. 2021. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350968333_Importance_and_utility_of_Charak_Samhita_Vimanasthan
48. Singh A, Rao A, Sharma P. Use of Ayurvedic diagnostic criteria in Ayurvedic clinical trials: a literature review focused on research methods. 2022. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357737247_Use_of_Ayurvedic_Diagnostic_Criteria_in_Ayurvedic_Clinical_Trials_A_Literature_Review_Focused_on_Research_Methods
49. EasyAyurveda. Anumana Pramana: types, description, Ayurveda examples. 2017 Apr 23. Available from: https://www.easyayurveda.com/2017/04/23/anumana-pramana/
50. Gautama PA. RCTs and other clinical trial designs in Ayurveda: a review of challenges and opportunities. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2021 Oct.; 12(3): 556–561. doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2021.06.012. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaim.2021.06.012
51. Manohar PR. Consideration of Ayurvedic diagnostics in design of clinical trials. Anc Sci Life. 2013 Jul.; 33(1): 1–3. Doi:10.4103/0257-7941.134553. PMID:25161322; PMCID: PMC4140014. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4140014/
52. Srivastava VD, Kumar V, Kausar K. Exploration the potential of artificial intelligence in Ayurveda

diagnostics through the machine learning approach. Saudi J Econ Fin. 2024 Mar.; 8(3): 67-73. doi:10.36348/sjef.2024.v08i03.001. Available from: https://saudijournals.com/media/articles/SJEF_83_67-73.pdf

53. Referencing guidelines– Charak Samhita. Charak Samhita Online.com;. Available from: https://www.carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php/Referencing_guidelines
54. Kethamakka SRP. Citation in Ayurveda articles. J Indian Syst Med. 2015 Jan-Mar.; 3(1): 1–2. Available from: https://oaji.net/ pdf.html?n= 2016/3020-1456053745.pdf
55. University of Calgary Library. Vancouver Style: Documenting sources – guide. Univ Calgary. 2019 Aug. Available from: https://ucalgary.ca/live-uc-ucalgary-site/sites/default/files/teams/9/vancouver-style-documenting-sources-lib-update-aug-2019.pdf

**Cite this article as:**
Hetvi V. Ladani, Ajitsinh K. Gohil, Harsh N. Pandya, Apurva D. Maru. Dynamics of Hetu-Sadhya-Sambandha: Reconstructing Vyapti as a Clinical Diagnostic Predicate in Ayurveda. AYUSHDHARA, 2026;13(1):458-468.
https://doi.org/10.47070/ayushdhara.v13i1.2520
***Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared***

***Address for correspondence**
**Dr. Hetvi V. Ladani**
Post Graduate Scholar,
Department of PG Samhita and Siddhanta, Government Akhandanand Ayurveda College, Ahmedabad.
Email: hetviladani98@gmail.com