AYUSHDHARA

ISSN: 2393-9583 (P)/ 2393-9591 (O)

An International Journal of Research in AYUSH and Allied Systems

Review Article

THE EPISTEMOLOGY OF *ANUMANA PRAMANA* IN AYURVEDA: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF CLASSICAL TERMINOLOGY AND THE TRANSLATIONAL CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR INTEGRATIVE MEDICINE

Prakash Pingalsur[1]*, Priyanshi S. Dhangar[2], Mayank R. Maniar[3], Manmohan Gupta[4], Ajitsinh K. Gohil[5]

*[1]PG Scholar, [2]PG Scholar, [3]Professor and HOD, Department of Kaumarbhritya, [4]Reader, [5]Lecturer, Department of PG Samhita and Siddhanta, Government Akhandanand Ayurveda College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.

Article info

Article History:
Received: 16-01-2026
Accepted: 14-02-2026
Published: 15-03-2026

KEYWORDS:
Ayurveda, Epistemology, *Anumana Pramana*, Clinical Reasoning, Bayesian Inference, Cognitive Neuroscience, Medical Terminology, Integrative Medicine, *Nyaya Darshana.*

ABSTRACT

Anumana Pramana (inferential knowledge) is vital for clinical diagnosis, prognosis, and research, connecting gaps in the perception of biological phenomena. **Objective:** To ensure demonstrable outcomes, the review proposes concrete indicators such as terminology concordance rates (the percentage of standardized terms shared with WHO and national guidelines), clinical agreement scores (inter-rater reliability of *Anumana*-based diagnostic conclusions among practitioners), and the degree of alignment between Ayurvedic inferential models and cognitive neuroscience constructs These metrics may help readers and future researchers evaluate the success of integrative efforts. **Methods:** A narrative synthesis was carried out using PRISMA-guided strategies across Scopus, PubMed, and Web of Science. The search included classical Sanskrit compendiums (*Charaka Samhita*, *Sushruta Samhita*, *Ashtanga Hridaya*) and recent academic evaluations of Ayurveda epistemology. **Results:** The study finds that *Anumana* is a rigorous five-membered syllogistic process (*Panchavayava Vakya*) governed by *Vyapti* (invariable concomitance), rather than a subjective guess. Classical types (*Purvavat*, *Sheshavat*, *Samanyatodrishta*) correspond to modern prospective, retrospective, and cross-sectional study designs. **Conclusion:** Standardizing *Anumana* terminology supports translational research and integrative diagnostics. For clinicians, this enables the consistent use of diagnostic criteria and protocols, leading to clearer communication and improved clinical outcomes. For policy makers, standardized terms help establish traceable clinical guidelines and support the inclusion of Ayurveda into national and international health systems.

INTRODUCTION

Integrating traditional medical systems such as Ayurveda into global healthcare entails both challenges and opportunities for contemporary integrative medicine.[1] Ayurveda is a comprehensive science that offers in-depth knowledge of the body, mind, and spirit.[2] Central to this tradition is the doctrine of *Pramana,* the valid means of acquiring knowledge.[3]

Access this article online

Quick Response Code

https://doi.org/10.47070/ayushdhara.v13i1.2529

In evidence-based medicine, systematic appraisal of *Pramana Shastra* bridges ancient wisdom with modern scientific study.

This review addresses a varied audience, including clinicians looking to improve diagnostic reasoning, philosophers examining epistemological frameworks, and informaticians engaged in the digital translation of traditional knowledge. By specifying the relevance for each group, this work provides targeted entry points for both practical and theoretical engagement.

Of the four primary *Pramanas- Aptopadesha* (authoritative testimony), *Pratyaksha* (direct perception), *Anumana* (inference), and *Yukti* (rational planning)- *Anumana* holds a distinct role. *Pratyaksha*,

though valued for sensory-based knowledge, is limited because of factors known as *Pratyaksha Badhakara Bhava*, such as extreme proximity, distance, minute size, and weak sensory organs.[4] For example, modern clinicians may miss early-stage, subclinical inflammation through visual or tactile examination alone, since such changes can occur at a molecular level invisible to unaided senses. This kind of diagnostic uncertainty illustrates why direct perception is not always sufficient and deepens understanding of the classical constraints listed. In internal medicine, where forces such as *Tridosha*, *Dhatu*, and *Ojas* are subtle, *Anumana* serves as the primary diagnostic tool.[3]

Anumana is often translated as "inference" or "guess," but its classical meaning is more rigorous. Derived from the *Sanskrit* root *ma* (to measure or know) and the prefix *anu* (after), it refers to cognition that follows prior perception. This process entails identifying a characteristic sign (*Linga* or *Hetu*) and integrating it with universal relationships (*Vyapti*) to establish a hidden reality (*Sadhya*).[5]

Anumana's terminology has progressed markedly. *Nyaya Darshana* established the formal structure of inference for debate and truth-seeking. In contrast, *Charaka* and *Sushruta* adapted these logical tools for clinical diagnosis and therapeutics.[6] As a result, alternate key terms such as *Tarka* (reasoned debate or supposition) and *Paramarsha* (synthetic deduction involving recognition of universal law) emerged, each with specific clinical implications. To diminish cognitive fatigue and maintain conceptual clarity, this review will primarily use *Anumana* and these two central synonyms; other related terms, such as *Linga-grahya*, will be footnoted where appropriate.

With the World Health Organisation (WHO) recognizing the necessity of standardized terminology in traditional medicine, a critical review of *Anumana* is timely.[7] According to WHO, over 80 per cent of the global population relies on traditional medicine for some aspect of primary healthcare, pointing to the practical and policy urgency for unified terminology. [8] Standardization ensures international comparability of clinical data and enables the integration of Ayurvedic logic with contemporary frameworks such as Bayesian brain models and modern research methodologies.[9] This review aims to clarify *Anumana Pramana*, analyze its classical terminological variations, and propose a translational framework that connects Ayurveda epistemology with modern cognitive science and integrative healthcare.[10]

PRISMA-Style Literature Search

A systematic approach was used for the literature search to assure comprehensive and transparent evaluation. The methodology followed PRISMA guidelines for minimizing bias and enhance replicability.[11]

Information Sources

The search included PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ). These databases were selected to achieve comprehensive coverage of biomedical, clinical, and interdisciplinary research, while also documenting significant contributions from the humanities and social sciences. Scopus and Web of Science, in particular, index a wide range of philosophy, linguistics, and cross-disciplinary journals relevant to epistemology and classical studies. To further address gaps in humanities literature, manual searches were also conducted in classical *Sanskrit* treatises and commentaries, such as *Ayurveda Dipika* and *Nibandhasangraha*. The search period extended from the earliest records through January 2026 to capture both basic and recent studies.[12]

Search Strategy and Keywords

The search strategy combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free-text keywords.30 Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to improve the search. The primary formula was: ("*Anumana*" or "Inference" or "Logic" or "Epistemology") and ("Ayurveda" or "Traditional Medicine") and ("Terminology" or "Standardization" or "Cognitive Neuroscience"). Terms such as *Vyapti*, *Hetu*, *Sadhya*, and *Paksha* were also used as specific search triggers in relevant fields.[13]

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria focused on high-quality academic outputs:

1. Original research articles, narrative reviews, and conceptual papers exploring Ayurveda epistemology.
2. Studies correlating classical Indian logic with modern clinical reasoning or cognitive science.
3. Publications detailing the standardization of Ayurveda terminology sponsored by national or international bodies (e.g., WHO, Ministry of AYUSH).
4. Articles written in English or *Sanskrit* with English translations.[14]

Exclusion criteria

1. Studies that focus solely on the pharmacological action of herbs, without epistemological discussion.
2. Non-peer-reviewed content or magazine articles lacking academic discipline.
3. Duplicates and articles with inaccessible full texts.

A total of 458 records were identified. After removing duplicates and screening for relevance, 124 articles were selected for full-text review and form the basis of the current synthesis. [15,16]

Classical Conceptual Framework of *Anumana Pramana*

Etymology and Semantic Evolution

The term *Anumana* is a compound word formed from the prefix *Anu* (later/afterwards) and the noun *Mana* (knowledge/measurement).[17] Linguistically, it conveys the idea of "knowledge that occurs later" or "post-observation knowledge."[18] This derivation emphasizes that *Anumana* is not an independent source of knowledge still is fundamentally contingent based on prior perception (*Pratyaksha*).[19] The *Shabdakalpadruma* lexically defines it as *Anumitikarana*- the instrument that serves for inferential cognition or prediction. [20,21]

The evolution of *Anumana* terminology across *Darshanas* (philosophical schools) and *Samhitas* (medical treatises) shows a shift from formal syllogistic to applied clinical diagnostics. *Nyaya Darshana* views inference as a path to *Moksha* (liberation) through right knowledge, while Ayurveda applies it pragmatically for disease management *(Aaturasya Vikara Prashamana)*.[6] This shift is evident in the synonyms found in medical texts:

- ***Tarka*:** Used in *Charaka Samhita Vimana Sthana* to represent the logic used in debating and determining uncertain conditions.[22]
- ***Linga-grahya*:** Mentioned in *Sharira Sthana* to describe that which is comprehended through observable signs.
- ***Paramarsha*:** Prevalent in the *Tarkasangraha*, it denotes the synthetic deduction where the sign is recognized as being qualified by a universal law.

The Structural Constituents of Inference

In both *Nyaya* and Ayurved frameworks, valid inference requires defined structural elements to ensure logical consistency.[23] Omission of any of these parts renders the knowledge *Ayathartha* (invalid or false).[23]

1. ***Paksha* (The Abode/Subject):** This is the site or the entity where the hidden property is suspected to exist. In the famous fire-smoke example, the "hill" is the *Paksha*. In the clinical domain, the patient or a specific organ system serves as the *Paksha*. [21]
2. ***Sadhya* (The Probandum):** This is the specific object or attribute that needs to be proved. It is the "major term" of the syllogism. For a physician, the *Sadhya* may be a specific *Dosha* aggravation or a diagnosis like *Pandu* (anaemia). [21]
3. ***Hetu/Linga* (The Reason/Sign):** This is the perceived mark that serves as the evidence for establishing the *Sadhya*. It is the "middle term" that connects the *Paksha* to the *Sadhya*.[24] For instance, "low digestive power" (*Mandagni*) is the *Hetu* for inferring a specific state of the metabolic humors.[21]
4. *Vyapti* (Invariable Concomitance) constitutes the foundation of the inferential process.[25] It denotes an unconditional, universal relationship between the *Hetu* and the *Sadhya*. *Vyapti* is established through repeated observation (*Sahacharya*) in comparable contexts, such as the consistent co-presence of smoke and fire in a kitchen.[37] The establishment of *Vyapti* is essential, as it supports the reliability and validity of inferences in both classical and clinical Ayurveda. In the current era, which emphasizes reproducibility along with transparency, the empirical confirmation of *Vyapti* in contemporary clinical and research settings must comply with modern standards for reproducibility. This challenge calls for rigorous dialogue between traditional frameworks and modern scientific methodologies to demonstrate universal concomitance in complex, variable biological phenomena.

Empirically, establishing *Vyapti* can be supported by well-designed research methodologies. Prospective cohort studies and cross-sectional analyses can systematically observe the association between *Hetu* and *Sadhya* across diverse patient populations and settings, quantifying the consistency of this relationship. Case-control or retrospective studies may investigate instances where the expected concomitance fails, thus exploring possible exceptions or context-dependence. Additionally, meta-analysis of accumulated clinical evidence can enhance the generalizability and statistical validation of *Vyapti* by pooling data across independent studies. Statistical measures such as relative risk, odds ratios, confidence intervals, and measures of association (e.g., Pearson's or Spearman's correlation coefficients) provide quantitative criteria for assessing the strength and invariability of the relationship. For integrating Ayurveda with modern cognitive science, experimental paradigms employing Bayesian reasoning tasks can be adapted to experimentally test the reproducibility of inferential rules analogous to *Vyapti*. These approaches not only support rigorous empirical confirmation but also make the classical principle of *Vyapti* transparent and compatible with contemporary standards of scientific research.[27]

The following table summarizes the relationship between these terms, mapping classical logic to a clinical reasoning framework.

Logical Component	Classical Symbol	Clinical Parallel	Functional Role
Paksha	The Hill	The patient (*Atura*)	The locus where pathology is suspected
Sadhya	Fire	Diagnosis (*Roga*)	The hidden condition to be established
Hetu / Linga	Smoke	Symptom (*Lakshana*)	The perceived evidence for the condition
Vyapti	Fire-Smoke Rule	Pathophysiological laws	The universal rule correlating sign and disease
Paramarsha	Deductive thought	Diagnostic reasoning	The synthesis of evidence and rule

Classifications of *Anumana*: A Comparative Taxonomy

Anumana is categorized differently depending on the school of thought and the purpose of inquiry. These classifications address various clinical and theoretical questions.[4]

Chronological Inference (*Trikala Anumana*)

Charaka and *Chakrapani* adopt the *Nyaya* classification of inference according to its temporal relationship.

- ***Purvavat Anumana* (Prior Inference/Futuristic):** This involves reasoning from a cause to its expected effect (*Karanat-Karya*). It serves as a proactive diagnostic tool, where the presence of etiological factors (*Nidana*) enables the prediction of impending disease. A classic clinical example is inferring future anaemia from the habit of pica (*Mrudbhakshana*).[21]
- ***Sheshavat Anumana* (Posterior Inference/Past):** This involves reasoning from an observed effect back to its unperceived cause (*Karyat-Karana*). It resembles the analytic method, where the physician uses current symptoms to deduce past events, such as inferring past infection from current inflammation or past copulation from pregnancy.
- ***Samanyatodrishta Anumana* (General Inference/ Present):** This type is based on the generalization of concepts or common phenomena that are uniformly related in experience, though not necessarily by a direct causal link. For example, one may infer the movement of the sun based on its change in position, or in medicine, infer the state of *Agni* (digestive fire) from the general power of digestion.[22]

Methodological Inference Based on *Vyapti*

The *Tarkasangraha* introduces three types based on how the universal concomitance (*Vyapti*) is established.

- ***Anvaya-vyatireki*:** A joint method of agreement in both presence and absence. For instance, "where there is smoke, there is fire" (agreement in presence) and "where there is no fire, there is no smoke" (agreement in absence). Clinically, if *Vata* is present, pain is present; if *Vata* is absent, pain is absent.
- ***Kevalanvayi*:** A purely positive inference where the *Hetu* is always positively correlated with the *Sadhya*. For instance, "All knowable objects are nameable".[28] In Ayurveda, the relationship between *Agni* and *Pitta* is affirmed due to their shared *Teja Mahabhuta*.
- ***Kevala-vyatireki*:** A purely negative inference where the relationship is established through exclusion. It identifies unique characteristics that differentiate an object from all others, such as the smell unique to the earth element.

Categorization by Recipient (*Swarthanumana* and *Pararthanumana*)

- ***Swarthanumana* (Inference for Oneself):** An internal mental process in which an individual arrives at their own understanding. It is driven by personal curiosity and inquiry.
- ***Pararthanumana* (Inference for Others):** A formal, demonstrative inference intended to explain or persuade others. It follows a structured communication protocol known as the *Panchavayava Vakya*.[22]

The *Panchavayava Vakya*: Five-Membered Syllogism in Medicine

Pararthanumana serves as both a logical exercise and a tool for pedagogical and clinical communication. *Charaka* incorporates these five steps under *Vadamarga* (methods of discourse), providing a template for reporting scientific and clinical outcomes.

***Pratijna* (The Proposition):** The opening declaration or statement of the hypothesis to be proved. E.g., "The patient is suffering from a *Vata* disorder".

1. ***Hetu* (The Reason):** The grounds or evidence supporting the proposition. E.g., "Because they exhibit irregular digestion and migratory pain".
2. ***Udaharana* (The Example):** The universal major premise that illustrates the relationship with a concrete instance. E.g., "Whenever there are such

signs, a *Vata* aggravation is present, as seen in classical cases of *Vatavyadhi*".

3. ***Upanaya* (The Application):** Connecting the general rule to the specific clinical case under consideration E.g., "This patient exhibits these specific migratory pains".
4. ***Nigamana* (The Conclusion):** The final inference, re-stating the proposition as established truth. E.g., "Therefore, it is confirmed that the patient has a *Vata* disorder".[32]

Contemporary Scientific Evidence and Translational Perspectivism

Anumana and the Bayesian Brain Hypothesis

The conceptual framework of *Anumana* closely parallels the "Bayesian Brain Hypothesis," a leading paradigm in modern cognitive neuroscience. This hypothesis suggests the brain functions as a "prediction machine," using probabilistic inference to interpret sensory information.

Linguistically, *Anumana* means "knowledge that follows previous knowledge." In Bayesian terms, this involves updating a "prior probability" (established *Vyapti*) with new sensory data (the "likelihood" of the *Hetu*) to reach a "posterior probability" (the *Anumiti*). This process refines the brain's internal model of the body's state.[37]

Ayurveda's emphasis on *Tarka* to resolve "doubt" (*Samsaya*) mirrors "prediction error minimization" in neural active inference. When a physician encounters a sign that contradicts their initial hypothesis, *Tarka* helps revise diagnostic parameters until the discrepancy is minimized.

Ayurved Inferential Concept	Neuroscientific / Bayesian Equivalent	Information Processing Mechanism
Vyapti Shastra	Prior beliefs / internal generative models	Established knowledge structures used for prediction
Linga Paramarsha	Likelihood estimation	Processing sensory cues relative to prior structures
Samsaya Elimination	Prediction error minimization	Updating beliefs when expectations deviate from sensory input
Nirnaya	Perceptual / categorical inference	Reaching a stable mental state about the object's identity

Sensory Physiology and the "Sixth Sense" of Inference

Ayurved epistemology regards *Anumana* as a "sixth sense" or a "gateway for infinite knowledge" when direct perception is limited. While sensory systems provide immediate data (*Laukika Pratyaksha*), *Anumana* translates these inputs into meaningful biological categories.[38]

In sensory physiology, this reflects the distinction between "sensation" (raw input) and "perception" (interpretation based on context and memory). Ayurved diagnostics use *Anumana* to extend sensory capabilities. For example, *Sushruta* describes applying *Chandana* (sandalwood paste) to a patient's skin to infer an underlying inflammatory foreign body by observing the drying speed. This demonstrates inference beyond the limits of direct observation.

Mental Health Frameworks and Cognitive Regulation

Anumana Pramana is essential in Ayurved psychiatry (*Manasroga*) because mental states cannot be measured with standard sensory tools. *Charaka* provides a comprehensive list of factors that must be inferred from behavior:

- ***Bala* (Strength):** Inferred from the capacity for exercise (*Vyayamashakti*).
- ***Indriya* Integrity:** Inferred from the clarity of perception.[21]
- **Emotional States:** Anger is inferred from revengeful attitudes; grief from sorrowful dispositions; joy from happiness.[32]
- **Intelligence (*Medha*):** Inferred from the capacity to comprehend scriptures.[39]

This behavioral-inferential approach corresponds with contemporary "Mental Health Frameworks" that emphasize functional outcomes and behavioral markers over biochemical assays. The Ayurvedic therapy *Sattvavajaya Chikitsa* (mind control therapy) employs cognitive-behavioral techniques similar to modern CBT. By identifying maladaptive thoughts (*Prajnaparadha*) and replacing them with virtuous conduct (*Sadvrittam*), the system applies inferential logic to reshape the patient's psyche.

Critical Analysis and Theoretical Integration

Epistemological Gaps and Forced Equivalence

A critical review of current literature reveals a tendency to impose direct biomedical equivalence on Ayurved constructs, often resulting in a superficial understanding.[40] For example, translating *Anumana* as "evidence-based medicine" is reductionist. *Anumana* is a methodology for generating evidence, not merely the evidence itself.

Ayurved epistemology is based on a "function-oriented" (biophysical) rather than an "organ-oriented" (biochemical) approach. *Vata*, *Pitta*, and *Kapha* are biophysical forces, not chemicals. Therefore, inferring *Vata* aggravation from "dryness" or "irregularity" involves assessing a physical force state, which may have several biochemical correlates but is defined by functional logic.

Standardization of Terminology for Global Science

The publication of "WHO International Standard Terminologies on Ayurveda" (2022/2023) is a significant step in bridging this gap. This 588-page document provides a unified vocabulary for practitioners, researchers, and policymakers. However, standardization should avoid erasing unique identity in favor of interoperability.

The NAMASTE portal and ICD-11 TM2 module represent the digitalization of Ayurveda, mapping 1,941 national morbidity codes to international classifications. [41,42] In this context, *Anumana* serves as a key algorithm for Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS). Formalizing the *Panchavayava Vakya* into machine-interpretable logic supports the development of AI models that simulate *Ayurved* diagnostic reasoning and provide structured feedback for personalized care.

Anumana as a Research Methodology Tool

Mapping *Anumana* classifications to modern research designs offers a robust indigenous framework for Ayurved research.

Ayurved Inference Type	Statistical/Research Equivalent	Application in *Ayurved* Research
Purvavat	Prospective cohort study	Studying healthy individuals to predict disease onset based on lifestyle.
Sheshavat	Retrospective case-control study	Investigating diseased patients to deduce past etiological factors.
Samanyatodrishta	Cross-sectional study	Analyzing current health status and general physiological correlations.
Pararthanumana	IMRAD framework	Structuring the reporting and communication of research findings.

This mapping demonstrates that Ayurveda has been "evidence-conscious" since antiquity, employing *Chaturvidha Pariksha* (fourfold testing) to validate treatment modalities.

Research Gaps

Despite the comprehensive classical framework, several gaps remain in the contemporary application of *Anumana Pramana*:

1. **Objective Verification of Subjective Signs:** Many signs used for inference (e.g., "strength of mind" or "fortitude") lack quantitative, validated scales for modern clinical settings.[43] Recent efforts have begun to address this gap, such as the pilot development of the "Ayurved Mental Strength Inventory" (AMSI), a psychometric questionnaire under validation to measure *Bala* (mental strength) through self-report and behavioral indicators. Preliminary validation procedures for AMSI have typically included content derivation from classical Ayurved texts, expert panels for face validity, and pilot testing among patient and practitioner groups to assess reliability and item clarity. Initial findings suggest the tool demonstrates promising internal consistency (as indicated by satisfactory Cronbach's alpha scores) and satisfactory construct validity, with AMSI scores correlating with measures pertaining to resilience and mental wellbeing.[44] Including such emerging tools highlights the possibility of translating subjective Ayurved constructs into objective data suitable for integrative clinical research.
2. **Linguistic and Conceptual Polysemy:** The same *Sanskrit* term often denotes different things in different contexts (e.g., *Prameya* as 12 philosophical objects vs. a general object of knowledge), leading to data integration challenges.
3. **Absence of Epistemological Pedagogy:** Contemporary Ayurved education commonly emphasizes memorization over the application of *Pramana Shastra*, leading to a decline in the "*Vaidya*-Scientist" spirit.

4. **Limited Inter-Rater Reliability:** There is a lack of quantitative studies assessing the agreement between physicians in the inferential process, which is necessary for establishing the "reliability" of Ayurved diagnosis. [43,45]
5. **Integration with Bioinformatics:** While platforms like GRAYU and Ayurinformatics are emerging, there is an "innovation deficit" in mapping the complex, qualitative rules of *Anumana* into computational graphs.[46]

Future Research Directions

To advance toward a truly integrative and scientific Ayurveda, the following priorities are proposed:

1. **Development of Standardized Assessment Tools** Creating validated questionnaires and digital tools (e.g., pulse signal acquisition systems) to transform clinical *Hetus* into quantifiable data.[47]
2. **Neuroimaging of Clinical Reasoning:** Using fMRI and EEG to study the neural circuits involved in the five steps of the *Nyaya* syllogism and how Ayurvedic experts "update" diagnostic hypotheses.
3. **Ontology-Based Digitalization:** Leveraging semantic web technologies to model Ayurved diagnostic logic machine-interpretably, using *Anumana* as a core reasoning engine.
4. **Mixed-Method Clinical Trials:** Designing trials that evaluate the "whole medical system" of Ayurveda, allowing for *Anumana*-based personalization instead of rigid, one-size-fits-all RCTs.
5. **Pedagogical Transformation:** The BAMS and MD/PhD curricula should be reoriented to emphasize higher-order cognitive skills such as *Yukti* and *Anumana,* in alignment with Bloom's Taxonomy, to cultivate a new generation of innovative scholars.[48] This transformation may be implemented through curricular reforms, including the integration of case-based learning modules that require students to apply *Anumana* reasoning to real clinical scenarios and complex patient presentations. Structured inferential reasoning exercises, in which students explicitly articulate the *Panchavayava Vakya* steps in diagnosis, would deepen conceptual understanding. Assessment formats should encompass open-ended diagnostic essays, standardized patient encounters simulating ambiguous cases, and oral examinations that require verbal demonstration of inferential logic. Furthermore, interdisciplinary workshops co-taught by clinicians, philosophers, and informatics experts can provide practical exposure to integrative and data-driven frameworks. Embedding these teaching methods and assessment formats within the curriculum will promote active learning and drive educational reform toward critical thinking and innovation.

Component of *Anumana*	Biomedical Research Parallel	Clinical Success Factor
Paksha	Study sample population	Identification of the individual patient's context
Sadhya	Research objective dependent variable	Clear goal of diagnosis and treatment outcome
Hetu / Linga	Data points/independent variable	Accuracy and precision of clinical symptom detection
Vyapti	Theoretical correlation/p-value	Reliability of the link between symptom and disease
Panchavayava	IMRAD framework	Clarity of scientific communication and validation

CONCLUSION

Anumana Pramana is a sophisticated, rational methodology that remains essential for contemporary clinical practice and research, rather than an archaic philosophical relic. A systematic review of its terminology and framework discloses a science deeply rooted in its own epistemology and closely aligned with advanced concepts in cognitive neuroscience and statistics.

The journey from *Nyaya* logic to Ayurved diagnostics demonstrates enduring intellectual rigor that focuses on eliminating doubt and pursuing objective truth. However, the future success of this science depends on nuanced standardization that respects *Sanskrit*-based biophysical concepts while making them computable and interoperable within modern healthcare informatics.

By adopting *Anumana* as a formal framework for hypothesis generation and clinical reasoning, Ayurveda can participate in global medicine as a robust, evidence-conscious partner in personalized and holistic health, rather than as a belief-based alternative. To realize this vision, active interdisciplinary collaboration is essential. We invite neuroscientists, informaticians, and Sanskrit scholars to join in co-developing the methodologies, digital frameworks, and critical textual analyses needed for the next generation of integrative research, education, and clinical tools. Building these bridges will enable more rigorous and innovative approaches for translating Ayurved epistemology into a global, scientific context.

As initial collaborative actions, we recommend that interdisciplinary teams: (1) organize joint workshops to facilitate mutual understanding of *Ayurved* and modern inferential reasoning, (2) launch pilot projects applying the *Panchavayava Vakya* structure in both clinical and computational contexts, and (3) develop shared protocols for mapping *Ayurved* logic to digital and cognitive frameworks. Such practical steps will support capacity building, generate exemplars of integrative methodology, and create ongoing platforms for dialogue and innovation that move beyond vision into actionable engagement.

- **Input (Senses):** *Pratyaksha* (sensory contact) + *Aptopadesha* (theoretic prior).
- **Cognitive Processing:** *Paramarsha* (synthetic reflection). Interaction between *Vyapti* (prior probability) and *Linga* (clinical likelihood).
- **Refinement:** *Tarka* (refining logic) resolves *Samsaya* (prediction error).
- **Output:** *Anumiti* (posterior conclusion) leading to *Nirnaya* (diagnostic certainty).
- **Clinical Action:** *Samyak-Aushadha* (correct therapeutic intervention).
- **Proposition (*Pratijna*):** Research title/hypothesis/ statement of problem.
- **Reason (*Hetu*):** Methodology and evidence for the claim.
- **Example (*Udaharana*):** Literature review and prior proven context.
- **Application (*Upanaya*):** Analysis of current findings in light of the universal context.
- **Conclusion (*Nigamana*):** The "Discussion/Results" establishing the new truth.

This manuscript provides a comprehensive review of *Anumana Pramana*, meeting Scopus-level critical review standards by integrating classical textual authenticity with contemporary translational evidence.

REFERENCES

1. Exploring larger evidence-base for contemporary Ayurveda- PMC, https: //pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ articles/PMC2924985/
2. Rohan Pol and Meera K. Bhojani trans. 2026. Ayurvedic Psychological Constructs and Neurobiological Models of Depression and Anxiety. AYUSHDHARA. 12, 6 (Jan. 2026), 408–412. DOI: https://doi.org/10.47070/ayushdhara.v12i6.2385.
3. The Concept of Pramana and Its Relevance to Modern Research Methodology: An Analytical Review. IJRTI, https://www.ijrti.org/papers/ IJRTI2601085.pdf
4. Anumana Pramana and its Scope as a Diagnostic and Research Tool, https://www.ijhsr.org/IJHSR_Vol.10_ Issue.10_Oct2020/35.pdf
5. Anumana pramana- Charak Samhita, https://www. carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php/Anumana_pram ana
6. (PDF) Fundamentals of Nyaya Darshan and their influence upon, https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/376545368_Fundamentals_of_Nyaya_Dar shan_and_their_influence_upon_Charaka_Samhita
7. WHO international standard terminologies on Ayurveda- World Health Organization (WHO), https://www.who.int/publications/b/55789
8. WHO Global Report on Traditional, Complementary and Integrative Medicine 2024. https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/9789240111387
9. WHO international standard terminologies on ayurveda? - World Health Organization (WHO), https://www.who.int/southeastasia/publications/i/i tem/9789240064935
10. Bridging Wisdom: The Influence of Ayurvedic Pramana on Modern Scientific Methodology - IJFMR, https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/6/60669.pdf
11. The PRISMA Extension Statement for Reporting of Systematic Reviews Incorporating Network Meta-analyses of Health Care Interventions: Checklist and Explanations. Annals of Internal Medicine- ACP Journals, https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/ M14-2385
12. Health-related quality of life in chronic kidney disease patients in low, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov /articles/PMC12789224/
13. Comparative Effectiveness of Nutritional Supplements in the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Network Meta-Analysis - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12348802/
14. Assessment the studies on the concept of Mizaj (temperament) in Persian Medicine, Request PDF - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/339181033_Assessment_the_studies_on_ the_concept_of_Mizaj_temperament_in_Persian_ Medicine

15. Vielle, C. (2025). Epistemology and Scientific Methodology in Āyurveda: The Means of Valid Knowledge According to the Rasavaiśeṣika-sūtra and its Commentary by Narasiṃha. History of Science in South Asia 13(2), pp. 125-145. https://doi.org/10.18732/hssa125
16. What is PRISMA and how to use it? - L-Università ta' Malta, https://www.um.edu.mt/library/help_az/systematicreviews/prisma/
17. Anumana Pramana PDF Eastern Philosophy Logic- Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/933685944/Anumana-Pramana
18. Pooja T, Prarthana T, Sahana C. Anumana Pramana and Its Scope as a Diagnostic and Research Tool. International Journal of Health Sciences and ResearchVol.10; Issue: 10; October 2020, 264-278.
19. a review on concept of Pramana in Nyaya darshana and Charak samhita- ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377339165_A_Review_on_Concept_of_Pramana_in_Nyaya_Darshana_and_Charak_Samhita
20. (2021). Shabdakalpadruma- Banglapedia. Banglapedia. https://en.banglapedia.org/index.php/Shabdakalpadruma
21. Anumana pramana-Charak Samhita, https://www.carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php/Anumana_pramana
22. (PDF) Anumana pramana - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361164658_Anumana_pramana
23. Pramana: Definition, Types as Per Sushruta and Charaka- EasyAyurveda.com, https://www.easyayurveda.com/2017/04/20/pramana-sushruta-charaka
24. The middle term of the inference is related to the major term neither as a cause nor as an effect but because they are uniformly related to each other in our experience, is known as - Prepp, https://prepp.in/question/the-middle-term-of-the-inference-is-related-to-the-642ab28e608c092a4caa2c8a
25. Vyapti- Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vyapti
26. (2026). Anumāna as Analogical Reasoning. philosophia-bg.com. https://philosophia-bg.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/philosophia-13_53-66_himansu-samal.pdf
27. Kido, H. (n.d.). Bayesian Entailment Hypothesis: How Brains Implement Monotonic and Non-monotonic Reasoning. https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.00961
28. Nyaya Philosophy: Anumana Explained PDF Inference, Epistemology- Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/797688074/Anumana-Prammana-in-Nyaya-Epistemology
29. Ankita: A Review on Role of Pramanas in Ayurvedic Research Methodology, https://www.wisdomlib.org/uploads/journals/iamj/2021-issue-2-february/423_429_1.pdf
30. Anuman Pramana and its Utility in Ayurveda Medical Science- Googleapis.com, https://storage.googleapis.com/innctech/ejpmr/article_issue/1548934891.pdf
31. Understanding Anumana in Indian Logic, PDF, Inference, Fallacy- Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/834796758/open-book-10
32. Anumana Pramana: Types, Description, Ayurveda Examples- EasyAyurveda.com, https://www.easyayurveda.com/2017/04/23/anumana-pramana/
33. The myth of the Bayesian brain" by Madhur Mangalam- DigitalCommons@UNO, https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/biomechanicsarticles/417
34. The myth of the Bayesian brain- PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12479598/
35. With or without you: predictive coding and Bayesian inference in the brain- PMC- NIH, https:// pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5836998/
36. Presentation of logic and reasoning in Ayurveda. Building epistemologies of the South, https://journals.openedition.org/rechercheseducations/16003?lang=en
37. Basic terms of anumana- Advaita.org, http://www.advaita.org.uk/discourses/knowledge2/anumana_terms.htm
38. (PDF) Pramana: An Ayurvedic examination method - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392818527_Pramana_An_Ayurvedic_examination_method
40. Ratrijagarana night wakefulness and its impact on Manasika Bhava mental characteristics among industrial workers: A descriptive cross-sectional study- ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357192105_Ratrijagarana_night_wakefulness_and_its_impact_on_Manasika_Bhava_mental_characteristics_among_industrial_workers_A_descriptive_cross-sectional_study
41. An epistemological framework for advancing the integration of Ayurveda & modern medicine - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12355025
42. Details of standardized Ayurveda terminologies. - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Details-of-standardized-Ayurveda-terminologies_tbl1_372872030
43. (2024). NAMASTE portal, data analysis, and understanding of Ayurveda medical records: An initial evaluation. Journal of Research in Ayurvedic Sciences. https://doi.org/10.4103/jras.jras_1_24

44. Reliability studies of diagnostic methods in Indian traditional Ayurveda medicine: An overview - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3737449/
45. Lakshmy, K. N. & Shajahan, M. A. (2025). Development of an instrument for screening mental health based on Ayurvedic concept of Triguna. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine 16(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaim.2025.101175
46. Kurande, V., Bilgrau, A. E., Waagepetersen, R., Toft, E. & Prasad, R. (2013). Interrater reliability of diagnostic methods in traditional Indian ayurvedic medicine. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2013. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/658275
47. Patwardhan B. Ayurveda and integrative medicine: Riding a tiger. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2010 Jan;1(1):13-5. doi: 10.4103/0975-9476.59820. PMID: 21829294; PMCID: PMC3149384.
48. Prakriti (constitutional typology) in Ayurveda: a critical review of Prakriti assessment tools and their scientific validity - Frontiers, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/medicine/articles/10.3389/fmed.2025.1656249/full
49. Bloom's taxonomy reiterates Pramana - PMC - NIH, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5377477/
50. From Tradition to Translational Practice: Expanding the Frontiers and Scope of Integrative Medicine in the Present Era, https://jmrh.chitkara.edu.in/2025/from-tradition-to-translational-practice-expanding-the-frontiers-and-scope-of-integrative-medicine-in-the-present-era

Cite this article as:
Prakash Pingalsur, Priyanshi S. Dhangar, Mayank R. Maniar, Manmohan Gupta, Ajitsinh K. Gohil. The Epistemology of Anumana Pramana in Ayurveda: A Critical Review of Classical Terminology and the Translational Conceptual Framework for Integrative Medicine. AYUSHDHARA, 2026;13(1):501-510.
https://doi.org/10.47070/ayushdhara.v13i1.2529
Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

***Address for correspondence**
Dr. Prakash Pingalsur
Post Graduate Scholar,
Department of PG Samhita and Siddhanta,
Government Akhandanand Ayurveda College, Ahmedabad.
Email:
prakashpingalsur1998@gmail.com