An International Journal of Research in AYUSH and Allied Systems

Research Article

A CLINICAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF *AMALAKAVLEHA* AS *RASAYANA* IN HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS

Priyankisha^{1*}, Vijay Chaudhary², Manik Soni³

*¹Research Scholar, ²Professor and H.O.D, ³Lecturer, P.G. Dept of Kayachitiksa, RGGPG Ayurvedic College, Paprola, Himachal Pradesh, India.

KEYWORDS: Rasayana, Rejuvenation, Amalakavleha, Ojas.

ABSTRACT

Rasayana is one of the eight branches of classical Ayurveda, used for prevention of diseases and prolongation of a healthy life, Rasayana are rejuvenators, nutritional supplements, possess strong anti-oxidant activity and increases Ojas. Rasayana therapy enhances the qualities of Rasa, enriches it with nutrients so one can attain longevity, freedom from diseases, youthfulness, memory, intelligence; excellence in complexion, lustre and sense organs; development of healthy physique and rejuvenation of mind and body. Present study has been designed to evaluate the efficacy of Amalakavleha as Rasayana in healthy individuals. 45 individuals fulfilling the inclusion criteria were randomly selected and divided into two groups. In Group-I, 23 individuals were administered Amalakavleha and in Group-II, 22 individuals were given starch capsules as placebo for 8 weeks. Individuals were thoroughly assessed on various subjective and objective parameters during complete trial period. Group-I individuals showed statistically significant improvement on various treadmill test related parameters like exercise time, maximum work load, VO2 max and rate pressure product, whereas statistically insignificant changes in these parameters were observed in the individuals receiving placebo. In Group-I during TMT, exercise time increased by 8.15% and Group-II had a marginal increase of only 0.30%, rise of 8.97% observed in maximum work load attained in Group-I whereas Group-II individuals showed rise of 1.78%. In Group-I, Vo2 max had 4.10% rise as compared to Group-II, having negligible rise of 0.15%. RPP in Group-I increased by 6.75%, while in Group-II 0.21% change was noticed. These results prove the efficacy and safety of Amalakavleha as Rasayana in healthy individual's w.r.t. physical and mental health. No untoward effects of therapy were observed in study subjects during the entire trial period.

*Address for correspondence Dr. Priyankisha

Research Scholar P.G. Dept of Kayachitiksa, RGGPG Ayurvedic College, Paprola, Himachal Pradesh, India. Email:

priyankishagarg@gmail.com
Contact Number: 8988428409;

7018533990

INTRODUCTION

Longevity is one of the primary instincts which are common among all living creatures. But there is no use of long life if a person is not healthy as quality of life is important for an individual. In today's competitive world, the erroneous life style is resulting in progressive decline in both physical and mental health. Sedentary life style super added with faulty eating patterns has led to marked reduction in health of individuals, which has resulted in a high incidence of various non communicable diseases. Ayurveda, an ancient

science of life, developed through the ages, deals with physical, psychological as well as spiritual well being of an individual. It is not just the knowledge of medicine but the complete science of life.

"Swasthasya Swasthya Rakshanam"[1] means maintaining the health of a healthy person had been the prime aim of Ayurveda. In Ayurveda emphasis has been given to preventive aspect of health considering physical, mental, and social aspects rather treating a disease. To achieve this goal, daily regimen, seasonal regimen, code of conduct of diet

and social behaviour are mentioned in Avurveda. *Rasavana*, which is one of the unique disciplines of Ayurveda, comprises a specialized use of herbs, herbo-mineral formulations, food articles, and lifestyle along with self-discipline and social etiquette to achieve the optimum state of tissues and systems of the body so that there is the least possibility of onset of disease. Rasayana is a specialized modality influencing the fundamental aspects of body viz. Dhatu, Agni, Srotas, Ojas. A person undergoing Rasayana or rejuvenation therapy attains longevity, memory, intellect, freedom from diseases, lustre of skin, excellent potency of body, good strength and good functioning of sense organs. Looking at the multifaceted approach of Rasayana, it can be said that *Rasayana* therapy incorporates various forms of health promotive activities in the form of diet, lifestyle, or medicines which target at achieving homeostasis (Dhatu Samya) thus retarding the process of aging, used to prevent diseases, promotion and prolongation of healthy life and not merely treating the disease.

The amalgamation of healthy living style and use of *Rasayana* can immensely help the mankind in preventing various diseases. *Rasayana* is having a replenishing effect on all the body tissues, organs and organ systems of the body.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Primary Objective

To evaluate the efficacy of *Amalakavleha* as *Rasayana* in healthy individuals w.r.t general physical and mental fitness.

Secondary Objective

To assess the safety of *Amalakavleha* in healthy individuals when used as *Rasayana* w.r.t general physical and mental fitness.

Protocol of Research

- 1. **IEC Approval:** Approval of Institutional Ethical Committee was obtained before commencement of research work vide Letter No.- Ayu/IEC/2017/1137 dated 01/09/2018.
- 2. **CTRI Registration:** The study has also been registered in Clinical Trial Registry of India (CTRI) vide CTRI No.- CTRI/2019/09/021387 dated 23/09/2019, prospectively.
- 3. **Consent:** Written and informed consent of healthy volunteers was taken before inclusion in the trial.
- 4. **Case Record Proforma:** A detailed case record proforma was prepared before commencement of interventional drug and after completion of therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Selection of the Individuals

A total of 45 study subjects were selected from OPD/campus of R.G.G.P.G. Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Paprola, District Kangra (H.P.) irrespective of caste, sex, race and religion. A detailed history of study subjects was obtained, physical examination was conducted and relevant investigations were carried out before the enrolment and after the completion of trial period.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Healthy volunteers who were willing to undergo the clinical trial.
- 2. Individuals of either gender aged between 30–60 years.
- 3. Individuals who were able to participate for 8 weeks of duration.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Individuals who were not willing to undergo the treatment.
- 2. Individuals below 30 years and above 60 years of age.
- 3. History of hypersensitivity to the treatment drug or any of its ingredients.
- 4. Individuals who completed participation in any other clinical trial during the past six months.
- 5. Any other condition which the principal investigator thought may jeopardize the study.

Laboratory Investigations

Hb%, TLC, DLC, ESR, FBS, Blood urea, Serum creatinine, SGOT, SGPT, S.Lipid profile (S. Cholesterol, S. Triglycerides, HDL, LDL, VLDL), S.Proteins.

Grouping of the Study Subjects

Selected study subjects were randomly divided into following two groups.

Group I: In this group 23 study subjects were registered out of which two discontinued the treatment and 21 individuals completed the study. In this group study subjects were given *Amalakavleha* in dose of 10gm once in a day (in morning) with *Koshana Jala. Kohstha shuddhi* was done before commencement of *Rasayana* formulation i.e., *Amalakavleha* by administrating *Haritakayadi Yoga* in dose of 5g with lukewarm water at bed time for 3-7days according to *Koshtha*.

Group II: In this group 22 study subjects were given starch capsules (500mg once in a day) as placebo out of which two were drop out and only 20 individuals completed the study.

Apart from this, the individuals in both groups were asked to have a brisk walk of 30

minutes daily in the morning, *Pranayama* for 5 to 10 minutes, low calorie, low sodium and low fat diet.

Trial Drugs

Duration of treatment: 8 Weeks

Interventional products were prepared at Government Charaka Ayurvedic Pharmacy, Paprola

Route of administration: Oral

Amalakavleha^[2]

vide Licence No. HP-Ay-87.

Table1: Ingredients of Amalakavleha

Ingredients	Botanical Name	Family	Part used
Amalaki	Emblica officinalis	Euphorbiaceae	Pericarp
Pippali	Piper longum	Piperaceae	Fruit
Palash kshara	Butea monosperma	Fabaceae	_
Madhu (Honey)	-	-	_
Go-Ghrita (Ghee)	-	-	_
Sharkara	-	-	_

Haritakayadi Yoga[3]

Table 2: Ingredients of Haritakayadi Yoga

Ingredients	Botanical Name	Family	Part used	Ratio
Haritaki	Terminalia chebula	Combretaceae	Pericarp	1
Amalaki	Emblica officinalis	Euphorbiaceae	Pericarp	1
Vacha	Acorus calamus	Acoraceae	Rhizome	1
Haridra	Curcuma longa	Zingiberaceae	Rhizome	1
Vishavbhaishaja	Ziingiber off <mark>ici</mark> nale	Zingiberaceae	Rhizome	1
Vidanga	Embelia ribes	Myrsin <mark>a</mark> ceae	Fruit	1
Pippali	Piper longum	Pipera <mark>c</mark> eae	Fruit	1
Saindhav lavana (Himalayan rock salt)	Arushdi	ARA -	_	1
Guda (Jaggery)	_	_	-	1

Follow up and Assessment of the Study Subjects

A thorough assessment of the study subjects was done before commencement of the therapy (day zero) and at the 14th, 28th, 42nd and 56th day i.e. at the time of the completion of therapy. The effects of treatment were assessed on the basis of various subjective and objective parameters. Laboratory investigations were carried out before commencement and after completion of the treatment.

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Objective criteria

- 1. TMT related parameters like- Exercise time, Maximum work load attained in terms of Mets, VO2 max, Double product/RPP (Rate Pressure Product).
- 2. Body weight
- 3. BMI
- 4. Vital capacity
- 5. Foot thrust
- 6. Hand grip power

Subjective criteria

An elaborated Proforma for the assessment of general health was used for the assessment of subjective criteria (Individual questionnaire, Rotation-A, World Health organization evidence and information for policy, World Health Survey 2002). This questionnaire covered all those important aspects with which individuals often struggle like- Overall health, Self care, Sleep, Mobility, Cognition, Interpersonal activities etc. Subjective criteria of assessment were graded from 0 to 4.

Table 3: Grading of subjective Criteria

Sr. No.	Symptoms	Grade					
1.	Overall Health	·					
	In general how would you rate your health today?						
	Very good	G0					
	Good	G1					
	Moderate	G2					
	Bad	G3					
	Very Bad	G4					
2.	Overall in the last 30 days how much	difficulty did you have with you work or					
	household activities?						
	None	G0					
	Mild	G1					
	Moderate	G2					
	Severe	G3					
	Extreme	G4					
3.	Mobility						
		difficulty did you have in vigorous activities such					
	as running 3km or cycling?						
	None	G0					
	Mild	G1					
	Moderate	G2					
	Severe	G3					
	Extreme	G4					
		l n4					
4.	Self Care	UT					
4.		1					
4.	Overall in the last 30 days how much o	1					
4.	Overall in the last 30 days how much odressing yourself?	difficulty did you have with self care as washing o					
4.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None	difficulty did you have with self care as washing o					
4.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate	difficulty did you have with self care as washing or G0 G1					
4.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild	G0 G1 G2					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how mu	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how mu	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing your general appearance	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)?					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how mu maintaining your general appearance None	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)?					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how muth of dressing your general appearance. None Mild	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 CH G1 G0 G1 G0 G1 G1 G0 G1					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how muth of the last 30 days how m	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 Ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2					
	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how muth of the last 30 days how m	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 C1 G0 G1 G0 G1 G0 G1 G2 G3 G0 G1 G2 G3					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how murmaintaining your general appearance. None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Extreme	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 C6 G1 G2 G3 G4 G6 G1 G2 G3 G4					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how muth maintaining your general appearance. None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Pain and Discomfort	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 C6 G1 G0 G1 G0 G1 G1 G2 G3 G4					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how murmaintaining your general appearance. None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Pain and Discomfort Overall in the last 30 days how much of the la	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 cf bodily aches or pain did you have?					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how muth of maintaining your general appearance. None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Pain and Discomfort Overall in the last 30 days how much of None Mild	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 G4 of bodily aches or pain did you have? G0 G1					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how much of maintaining your general appearance. None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Pain and Discomfort Overall in the last 30 days how much of None Mild Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 G1 G2 G3 G4 G1 G2 G3 G4					
5.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of dressing yourself? None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Overall in the last 30 days how muth of maintaining your general appearance. None Mild Moderate Severe Extreme Pain and Discomfort Overall in the last 30 days how much of None Mild	G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 ch difficulty did you have in taking care of and (grooming, looking neat and tidy etc.)? G0 G1 G2 G3 G4 G4 G6 G1 G2 G3 G4 G6 G1 G1 G1 G1					

7.	Overall in the last 30 days how much of bodily discomfort did you have?							
	None	G0						
	Mild	G1						
	Moderate	G2						
	Severe	G3						
	Extreme	G4						
8.	Cognition							
	Overall in the last 30 days how much difficulty did you have in concentrating or remembering things?							
	None	G0						
	Mild	G1						
	Moderate	G2						
	Severe	G3						
	Extreme	G4						
9.	Overall in the last 30 days how much difficulty did you	ı have in learning a new task?						
	None	G0						
	Mild	G1						
	Moderate	G2						
	Severe	G3						
	Extreme	G4						
10.	Interpersonal Activities	1 - 5						
	Overall in the last 30 days how much difficulty did you have in dealing with conflicts and tensions with others?							
	None	G0						
	Mild	G1						
	Moderate	G2						
	Severe	G3						
	Extreme	G4						
11.	Sleep and Energy	1 **						
	Overall in the last 30 days how much difficulty did you asleep, waking up frequently during night or waking up							
	None	G0						
	Mild	G1						
	Moderate	G2						
	Severe	G3						
	Extreme	G4						
12.	Overall in the last 30 days how much difficulty did y refreshed during the day?	ou have to not feeling rested and						
	None	G0						
	Mild	G1						
	Mild Moderate	G1 G2						
	Moderate							
	Moderate Severe	G2 G3						
13.	Moderate Severe Extreme	G2						
13.	Moderate Severe	G2 G3 G4						

Priyankisha et al. The Efficacy of Amalakavleha As Rasayana In Healthy Individuals

	J J	
	Mild	G1
	Moderate	G2
	Severe	G3
	Extreme	G4
14.	Self Care	
	Overall in the last 30 days how much difficulty did y	ou have worry or anxiety?
	None	G0
	Mild	G1
	Moderate	G2
	Severe	G3
	Extreme	G4

OBSEVATIONS AND RESULTS

Out of 45 registered study subjects maximum were married (91%) male (64%) of age group between 41-50 yrs (44%) dwelling in rural area (78%) and Hindu (100%) by religion. 84% were literate and majority of them were involved in desk work (33%), taking vegetarian diet (53%), having reduced sleep (49%) and appetite (66.6%). 88% of study subjects had no addiction but rest of them were addicted either to smoking or alcohol. Majority of individuals had *Vata-Kaphaj Deha Prakriti* (42.22%) and *Rajsik Manas Prakriti* (44.44%). Majority were *Meda Sara* (35.55%) with *Madhyama Samhanana* (57.77%), *Satva* (51.11%), *Satmaya* (62.22%), *Pramana* (53.33%) and *Avara Aahara Shakti* (53.33%) and *Avara Vyayama Shakti* (42.22%).

Table 4: Assessment of Effects on TMT parameters

Variable	Group	Mean Score	Percent	
		BT	AT	Change
Exercise time (In minutes)	Group-I	8.58	9.28	8.15%
	Group-II	6.56	6.54	0.30%
Max Work load (METS) (In term of Mets)	Group-I	10.19	11.11	8.97%
	Group-II	9.88	9.71	1.78%
VO2 Max (In ml/kg/ min)	Group-I	36.25	37.75	4.10%
	Group-II	34.41	34.47	0.15%
RPP (In mm Hg. Hz)	Group-I	24603.20	23045.63	6.33%
	Group-II	22152.57	22106.05	0.21%

Table 5: Statistical Analysis on Effects of Therapy on TMT Parameters

Variable	Group	Mean Diff.	SD+	SE+	ʻt' value	ʻp' value	Intergroup p-value
Exercise time (In	Group-I	0.70	1.78	0.49	4.452	0.009 (<0.01)	0.008
minutes)	Group-II	0.02	2.34	0.33	0.111	0.224 (>0.05)	
Max Work load (METS)	Group-I	0.92	1.19	0.27	3.437	0.003 (<0.01)	0.001
(In term of Mets)	Group-II	0.18	0.64	0.14	1.255	0.224 (>0.05)	
VO2 Max (In	Group-I	1.50	2.27	0.51	2.946	0.008 (<0.01)	0.007
ml/kg/min)	Group-II	0.06	0.48	0.10	0.519	0.610 (>0.05)	
RPP (In mm Hg. Hz)	Group-I	1557.57	2343.39	523.99	3.303	0.004 (<0.01)	0.004
	Group-II	46.52	1311.83	286.26	0.196	0.847 (>0.05)	

Table 6: Assessment Result on Other Objective Parameters

Variable	Group	Mean Score		Percent
		BT	AT	Change
Vital Capacity (In Litre)	Group-I	3.54	3.59	1.32%
	Group-II	3.35	3.36	0.29%
Foot Thrust (In Kg)	Group-I	65.60	66.90	1.98%
	Group-II	61.81	60.91	0.15%
Hand Grip Power (In mm of Hg)	Group-I	92.50	95.95	3.72%
	Group-II	94.60	93.75	0.90%
Body Weight (In Kg)	Group-I	65.80	65.81	0.01%
	Group-II	63.14	63.08	0.10%
BMI (In Kg/m2)	Group-I	22.36	22.37	0.02%
	Group-II	22.13	22.11	0.06%

Table 7: Statistical Analysis on Other Objective Parameters

Variable	Group	Mean Diff.	SD+	SE+	't' value	'p' value	Intergroup p-value
Vital Capacity (In	Group-I	0.05	0.18	0.05	3.425	0.007 (<0.01)	0.050
Litre)	Group-II	0.01	0.20	0.05	0.611	0.321 (>0.05)	
Foot Thrust (In Kg)	Group-I	1.30	1.59	0.36	3.650	0.002 (<0.01)	0.010
	Group-II	0.01	1.55	0.34	0.282	0.781 (>0.05)	
Hand Grip Power (In	Group-I	3.450	3.83	0.86	4.027	0.001 (<0.001)	0.010
mm of Hg)	Group-II	0.850	2.78	0.62	1.369	0.187 (>0.05)	
Body Weight (In Kg)	Group-I	0.01	0.45	0.10	0.049	0.961 (>0.05)	0.682
	Group-II	0.06	0.43	0.09	0.659	0.518 (>0.05)	
BMI (In Kg/m2)	Group-I	0.01	0.20	0.05	1.448	0.164 (>0.05)	0.180
	Group-II	0.02	0.17	0.04	0.396	0.697 (>0.05)	

Table 8: Assessment Result on Subjective Parameters

Variable	Group	Mean Sco	ore	Percent
		BT	AT	Change
Overall Health	Group-I	2.95	2.00	32.20%
	Group-II	2.91	2.81	3.09%
Mobility	Group-I	3.05	4.16	36.30%
	Group-II	1.95	2.06	5.60%
Self Care	Group-I	2.80	2.56	11.40%
	Group-II	2.95	2.81	4.80%
Cognition	Group-I	2.90	2.10	27.50%
	Group-II	3.14	3.00	4.45%
Interpersonal Activities	Group-I	3.00	1.90	36.60%
	Group-II	3.10	2.91	6.10%
Sleep	Group-I	2.95	1.90	35.50%
	Group-II	2.91	2.81	3.30%
Energy	Group-I	2.85	2.15	24.50%
	Group-II	2.76	2.81	1.70%

Table 9: Statistical analysis on subjective parameters

Variable	Group	Mean	SD+	SE+	't'_	ʻp'	Intergroup
		Diff.			value	value	p-value
Overall Health	Group-I	0.95	1.47	0.33	2.894	0.009 (<0.01)	0.010
	Group-II	0.10	0.30	0.07	1.451	0.162 (>0.05)	
Mobility	Group-I	1.11	1.71	0.38	2.871	0.010 (<0.01)	0.636
	Group-II	0.11	1.24	0.27	1.234	0.232 (>0.05)	
Self Care	Group-I	0.33	0.28	0.29	1.679	0.061 (>0.05)	0.684
	Group-II	0.14	0.36	0.08	1.826	0.083 (>0.05)	
Cognition	Group-I	0.80	1.32	0.29	2.707	0.014 (<0.01)	0.722
	Group-II	0.14	1.28	0.27	1.195	0.246 (>0.05)	
Interpersonal	Group-I	1.10	1.45	0.32	3.399	0.003 (<0.01)	0.023
Activities	Group-II	0.19	0.98	0.21	0.890	0.384 (>0.05)	
Sleep	Group-I	1.05	1.43	0.32	3.280	0.004 (<0.01)	0.005
	Group-II	0.10	0.30	0.07	1.451	0.162 (>0.05)	
Energy	Group-I	0.70	1.30	0.29	2.405	0.027 (<0.01)	0.025
	Group-II	0.05	0.67	0.15	0.326	0.748 (>0.05)	

Table 10: Assessment Results of Effects of Therapy on Laboratory Parameters

Variable	Group	Mean Scor	Mean Score		
		BT	AT	Change	
Hb	Group-I	12.77	12.81	0.31%	
	Group-II	11.98	11.87	0.95%	
TLC	Group-I	7097.50	7099.00	0.02%	
	Group-II	7171.42	7170.38	0.01%	
Neutrophils	Group-I	58.86	59.57	1.20%	
	Group-II	23.54	23.72	0.76%	
Mixed cells	Group-I	9.18	9.22	0.38%	
	Group-II	12.87	12.81	0.46%	
Lymphocytes	Group-I	31.40	31.85	1.41%	
	Group-II	24.49	24.50	0.02%	
ESR	Group-I	20.00	19.26	3.72%	
	Group-II	13.33	13.32	0.13%	
FBS	Group-I	94.90	93.65	1.31%	
	Group-II	92.38	94.00	1.75%	
B. Urea	Group-I	23.20	23.12	0.36%	
	Group-II	31.43	31.44	0.01%	
S. Creatinine	Group-I	0.93	0.91	1.62%	
	Group-II	0.98	0.97	0.61%	
S. Cholesterol	Group-I	176.60	174.20	1.35%	
	Group-II	166.57	166.85	0.16%	
S. Triglycerides	Group-I	135.75	134.25	1.10%	
	Group-II	133.38	133.79	0.31%	
HDL	Group-I	55.11	56.00	1.64%	
	Group-II	67.91	67.38	0.77%	

LDL	Group-I	93.27	92.11	1.24%
	Group-II	101.38	102.14	0.75%
VLDL	Group-I	29.18	28.77	1.41%
	Group-II	27.54	27.75	0.76%
SGOT	Group-I	35.46	33.84	4.56%
	Group-II	35.24	35.20	0.11%
SGPT	Group-I	38.00	36.94	2.79%
	Group-II	34.57	34.33	0.70%
S. Proteins	Group-I	6.95	7.01	0.81%
	Group-II	6.81	6.80	0.14%

Table 11: Statistical Analysis on Laboratory Parameters

Variable	Group	Mean	1	SE+	't'	'p' value	Intergroup
	F	Diff.			value	r	p-value
Hb	Group-I	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.577	0.571 (>0.05)	0.478
	Group-II	0.11	0.35	0.07	1.492	0.151 (>0.05)	
TLC	Group-I	1.50	1953.57	436.83	0.235	0.817 (>0.05)	0.376
	Group-II	1.04	1399.61	305.42	1.887	0.151 (>0.05)	
Neutrophils	Group-I	0.71	14.08	3.15	0.581	0.568 (>0.05)	0.765
	Group-II	0.18	5.81	1.27	0.682	0.522 (>0.05)	
Mixed cells	Group-I	0.04	5.04	1.13	0.218	0.830 (>0.05)	0.661
	Group-II	0.06	3.91	0.85	0.441	0.664 (>0.05)	
Lymphocytes	Group-I	0.45	12.27	2.74	0.162	0.873 (>0.05)	0.949
	Group-II	0.01	4.92	1.07	0.242	0.811 (>0.05)	
ESR	Group-I	0.74	10.04	2.25	1.292	0.212 (>0.05)	0.873
	Group-II	0.01	8.69	1.89	1.281	0.220 (>0.05)	
FBS	Group-I	1.25	16.40	3.67	1.159	0.261 (>0.05)	0.530
	Group-II	1.62	9.47	2.07	0.784	0.442 (>0.05)	
B. Urea	Group-I	0.08	5.36	1.19	0.901	0.372 (>0.05)	0.604
	Group-II	0.01	6.42	1.40	1.871	0.075 (>0.05)	
S. Creatinine	Group-I	0.02	0.18	0.04	1.580	0.131 (>0.05)	0.298
	Group-II	0.01	0.34	0.07	2.025	0.056 (>0.05)	
S. Cholesterol	Group-I	2.40	32.47	7.26	1.818	0.085 (>0.05)	0.197
	Group-II	0.28	13.82	3.02	1.011	0.393 (>0.05)	
S. Triglycerides	Group-I	1.50	40.12	8.97	1.789	0.090 (>0.05)	0.189
	Group-II	0.41	12.55	2.74	1.373	0.180 (>0.05)	
HDL	Group-I	0.89	8.35	1.87	0.565	0.576 (>0.05)	0.898
	Group-II	0.53	5.22	1.14	1.171	0.255 (>0.05)	
LDL	Group-I	1.16	36.45	8.15	0.871	0.395 (>0.05)	0.473
	Group-II	0.76	16.32	3.56	0.124	0.833 (>0.05)	
VLDL	Group-I	0.41	13.26	3.10	0.360	0.723 (>0.05)	0.682
	Group-II	0.21	11.32	2.47	0.208	0.837 (>0.05)	
SGOT	Group-I	1.619	3.83	0.86	1.652	0.710 (>0.05)	0.364
	Group-II	0.038	18.48	4.03	0.106	0.916 (>0.05)	
SGPT	Group-I	1.063	21.88	5.02	0.650	0.521 (>0.05)	0.603

Priyankisha et al. The Efficacy of Amalakavleha As Rasayana In Healthy Individuals

	Group-II	0.242	6.88	1.50	0.704	0.493 (>0.05)	
S. Proteins	Group-I	0.06	0.50	0.12	1.133	0.270 (>0.05)	0.061
	Group-II	0.01	0.49	0.11	1.604	0.120	

DISCUSSION

The present clinical study was aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of *Amalakavleha* as *Rasayana* in healthy individuals.

Among treadmill test parameters it was observed that after the completion of eight weeks of therapy the mean value of duration of exercise in Group-I increased from 8 min 58 sec to 9 min 28 sec with an increase of 8.15%, mean value of Max. Work Load attained before the treatment was 10.195 Mets which increased to 11.11 Mets after the completion of therapy with 8.97% increase, mean value of VO2 Max before treatment was 36.251ml/ kg/min which increased to 37.749ml/kg/min with an increase of 4.10%, RPP before treatment was 23045.63mmHg. which increased Hz 24603.2mmHg. Hz after treatment with 6.75% rise. All the results were statistically significant (p < 0.01) in Group-I and in Group-II mean value of exercise time before treatment was 6 min 56 sec which reduced to 6 min 54 sec after the completion of therapy with a decline of 0.30%, mean value of Max. Work Load attained before the administration of treatment was 9.881 Mets which decreased to 9.705 Mets after completion of therapy with change of 1.78%, mean value of Vo2 increased from 34.414ml/kg/min to 34.468ml/kg/min. with a negligible increase i.e. 0.15%, mean value of RPP before treatment was 22106.05mmHg. Hz which increased to 22152.57mmHg. Hz after the completion of therapy with a change of 0.21%. All the results were statistically insignificant (p > 0.05) in Group-II (Table No.-4)

The intergroup comparison of effect of therapy on all TMT parameters revealed a statistically significant difference ('p' < 0.01). (Table No.-4)

Among 20 study subjects registered under Group–I the mean value of foot thrust before treatment was 65.60Kg which increased to 66.90Kg after treatment with an increase of 1.98% which was statistically significant and among 21 study subjects registered under Group–II, the mean value of foot thrust before treatment was 61.81Kg which decreased to 60.91Kg after the completion of therapy with a change of 0.15% which was statistically insignificant (p >0.05). Mean value of hand grip power in Group-I increased to 95.95 mm of Hg from 92.50mm of Hg with an increase of 3.72% which was statistically significant and in Group–II, it was found that the mean value of hand

grip power decreased to 93.75mm of Hg from 94.60mm of Hg with a change of 0.90% which was statistically insignificant. In Group-I mean value of body weight before treatment was 65.80Kg which increased to 65.81Kg after treatment with a negligible change of 0.01% and in Group-II, the mean value of body weight decreased from 63.14Kg to 63.08Kg with a change of 0.1%. However the results were statistically insignificant in both the groups. In Group-I the mean value of BMI increased negligibly (0.02%) from 22.36Kg/m² to 22.37Kg/m² and results found were statistically insignificant. In Group-II also statistically insignificant reduction in the mean value of BMI was observed i.e. from 22.13Kg/m² to 22.12Kg/m² with decline of 0.06%. In order to assess the respiratory endurance the study subjects were asked to perform the spirometery test and in Group-I vital capacity showed statistically significant increase of 1.32% with rise in mean value from 3.54L to 3.59L and in Group-II change of 0.29% was observed with increase in mean value from 3.35L to 3.36L which was statistically insignificant (Table No.-5)

Intergroup comparison of foot thrust and hand grip power revealed statistically significant difference whereas, intergroup comparison of body weight, BMI and vital capacity showed statistically insignificant difference (Table No.-5).

In the present study, WHO questionnaire was adopted for the assessment of subjective parameters (Table No.-3). It was observed that statistically significant improvement was obtained on overall health (32.2%) and energy (24.5%) in Group-I. Group-II which was the placebo group showed statistically insignificant results both for overall health (3.09%) as well as for energy (1.70%). Statistically significant results were obtained in Group-I parameters on like interpersonal activities (36.6%), mental status (29.6%) and sleep (35.5%). However Group-II showed statistically insignificant results for all these mentioned parameters (Table No-6). The effect of therapy on cognition had statistically significant results in Group-I (27.5%) and statistically insignificant results in Group-II (4.45%). The statistical analysis of the effect of therapy on self care revealed that both the groups had statistically insignificant results but the improvement in Group-I (11.4%) was better than Group-II (4.8%). Effect of therapy on mobility showed statistically significant results in Group-I with improvement of 36.30% whereas Group-II (5.60%) revealed statistically insignificant results. Hence statistical analysis of the data showed significant improvement in parameters like overall health, sleep, energy, interpersonal activities, mobility, and mental status in Group –I, where as statistically insignificant results were obtained in the parameters like self care, cognition in both groups. The intergroup comparison showed statistically significant difference on overall health, sleep, energy, interpersonal activities and mental status and statistically insignificant results on mobility, cognition and self care (Table No.-6).

Various laboratory investigations including Hb, TLC, DLC, ESR, FBS, Blood urea, Serum creatinine, SGOT, SGPT, S. Lipid profile (S. Cholesterol, S. Triglycerides, HDL, LDL, VLDL), S. Proteins were within normal range both before and after the therapy in both the groups and statistically insignificant changes were observed in these Lab. parameters in both the groups after the therapy. (Table No. 7)

The above results showed positive changes Group-I which Amalakavleha in administered as Rasavana which contains Amalaki, Pippali, Go-Ghrita etc. (Table No.-1). Amalaki is commonly used drug in Ayurveda to promote longevity.[4] It has been considered as a very good Rasayana. Amalaki has Madhuar Rasa, Guru Guna, Sheeta Veerva and Madhura Vipaka which helps in the formation of Rasa of the best Ouality.[5] This Rasa further helps in the formation of excellent *Dhatus* which further promotes our physical fitness and overall health. Its Amla, Madhura, Katu, Tikta, Kashaya Rasa and Sheeta, Ruksha guna makes it superior fruit among all fruits.[6] It helps in amplification of Agni^[7]; Augmented Agni removes the *Ama* from body, improves the metabolism, helps in Strotoshodhana[8] that further helps the Rasa to nourish Dhatus properly, leading to formation of Ojas[9]. Amalaki has a variety of flavonoids, abundance of Vit C, iron, phosphorous which helps in the better functioning of enzymatic reactions and hence helps in improving overall health and boost up our energy. It has tannoids, gallic acid, ellagic acid, corilagin which not only reduce the oxidative stress in the body but also possess cardioprotective activities.[10] It also helps in improving the memory and intellect by enhancement of gabaminergic metabolic activity.[11]

Pippali is one of the *Rasayana* drugs which specifically act at the level of *Jatharagni* and *Dhatvagni*.^[12] It promotes longevity, strengthens the immune system and reduces oxidative stress.^[13] It

has Katu Rasa and Ushana Guna acting at the level *Agni*^[12], which further embellishes metabolism leading to an improved structural and functional pattern of Dhatus. Pippali has the capacity to mitigate the Agnidushti by its Deepana, Pachana,[13] Vrinhana, Shodhana Karma and hence plays an important role in release of metabolic heat energy. *Pippali* has *Madhuar Vipaka*^[12] that promotes the nutritional value of Rasa which further helps in the formation of best quality Dhatus[14] and finally Ojas, which is the main immune-modulator in the body. *Pippali* also alleviates vitiated *Vata Dosha*^[13], which is responsible for degenerative changes in body thus protecting body from oxidative stress. It contains Piperine, Piplartine, Piper legumine as primary constituents. Additional active chemicals includes several piperidine alkaloids, terpenines, sesamine etc. which makes it powerful stimulant of various systems of body like digestive, respiratory system.[15] Significant protection against oxidative stress and cardiotoxicity by virtue of its antioxidant activity was also concluded.[16] Its adaptogenic property helps our body to adapt to various physical and mental stress.[17] The ethanol extract of the P. longum fruit yields antihyperlipidemic activity.[18] *Pippali* also acts as brain tonic,[19] antidepressant activity of *Piper longum* has been also reported in some studies.

Ghrita has Madhura Rasa, Guru, Snigdha, Sheeta Guna, Sheeta Veerya and Madhura Vipaka. [20] By virtue of these properties it acts as tissue enhancer, Medhya, Balya, Ayushya, Ojovardhaka, Rasayana, Vayaasthapka. [21] Agni Deepana action due to Prabhava of Ghee vitalizes the metabolism. Traditional texts also designate Cow Ghee as a Medhya Rasayana beneficial for mental alertness and memory. [20] It also possess antioxidant, immune modulator activities, and promotes longevity [21].

Honey (Madhu) has Madhura and Kashaya Rasa, Ruksha Guna, Sheeta Virya which helps in *Tridosha Shamana*^[22] and hence balances the pillars of body i.e., Vata, Pitta, Kapha. Due to Ruksha Guna and Lekhana Karma honey penetrates micro channels and cleanses the channels for better circulation of *Rasa*. Honey nourishes the body with Vrinhana Guna. Natural honey contains flavonoides such apigenin, as pinocembrin, quercetin and heopertin etc. phenolic acid (such as ellagie, caffieic, P-coumaric & ferulic acids) ascorbic acid, tocopherols, catalase, superoxide dismutase, reduced glutathione. Anti-oxidant activity of honey is determined by vitamin C, monophenolios, flavonoids and polyphenolies. Phenolic compound present in honey has promising cardioprotective effect.^[23] It assists the building and development of entire central nervous system which leads to the improvement of memory and growth, reduction of anxiety and enhancement of intellectual performance.^[24] Anti- ageing potency of honey in white Whister albino rats was also studied which suggested that honey consumption may augment defence mechanism against oxidative stress and various attenuated free radicals.^[25]

CONCLUSION

The trial drug showed promising improvement on various subjective and objective parameters related to physical and mental health. Statistically significant improvement in various TMT parameters like exercise time, maximum work load attained, Vo2 max, RPP and vital capacity was noticed in the individuals who were treated with *Amalakavleha* as compared to the placebo group. Statistically significant improvement in most of the subjective parameters like overall health, energy, mobility, sleep, interpersonal activities and mental status were also observed in the individuals who were given *Amalakavleha* as compared to the individuals receiving placebo.

Hence we may conclude that *Amalakavleha Rasayana* is effective in increasing the general physical and mental fitness of an individual.

No untoward effect of therapy was seen during the entire trial period. Though the results of this study are encouraging, a multi-centric study with larger sample size should be conducted to further establish the efficacy of drug. Longer duration trial can be done to evaluate the safety of drug in long term use.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the authorities of Rajiv Gandhi Govt. Post Graduate Ayurvedic College & Hospital Paprola (H.P.) for providing necessary facilities for completion of this research work. We are also thankful to all individuals who participated in this study.

REFRENCES

- 1. Charakacharaya, Charak Samhita edited with Vidhyotini Tika, Sutra Sthana Chapter 1, Editor Pt. Rajeshwar Dutt Shastri, Chowkhamba Bharti Academy, Varanasi, reprint 2017, Page-3.
- 2. Charakacharaya, Charak Samhita edited with Vidhyotini Tika, Chikitsa Sthana Chapter 7/2/1, Editor Pt. Rajeshwar Dutt Shastri, Chowkhamba Bharti Academy, Varanasi, reprint 2017, Page-24.
- Charakacharaya, Charak Samhita edited with Vidhyotini Tika, Chikitsa Sthana Chapter 1/1/25, Editor Pt. Rajeshwar Dutt Shastri,

- Chowkhamba Bharti Academy, Varanasi, reprint 2017, Page-9
- Charakacharaya, Charak Samhita edited with Vidhyotini Tika, Sutra Sthana Chapter /2540, Editor Pt. Rajeshwar Dutt Shastri, Chowkhamba Bharti Academy, Varanasi, reprint 2017, Page-468
- Sharma P.V. Dravyaguna Vijnana Volume 2nd, Chaukhambha Bharti Academy, Varanasi Reprint 2007
- 6. Sushruta Acharya, Sushruta Samhita edited with Ayurveda tattva sandipika, Sutra Sthana, Chapter 46/143-144, editor Kaviraja Ambika Dutta Shastri, Chowkhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Reprint; 2018, Page-256.
- 7. Pole, Sebastian Ayurvedic Medicine: The Principles of Traditional Practice. Churchill Livingston Elsevier, 2006. 52, 126-127, 296, 303-304. 326.
- 8. Balasubramani SP, Venkatasubramanian P, Kukkupuni SK, Patwardhan B (2011) Plantbased Rasayana drugs from Ayurveda. Chin J Integr Med 17[2]:88-94.
- 9. Murthy, Srikantha KR (2003) Ashtanga hridaya of Acharya Vagbhata, Chaukhabha Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi Sarira sthana 3/68,.
- 10. Vikas kumar et al 2017; Amalaki rasayana, a traditional Indian drug enhances cardiac mitochondrial and contractile functions and improves cardiac function in rats with hypertrophy. Scientific Reports 2017;7(1):8588.
- 11. Tiwari, V. et al. Amalaki Rasayana improved memory and neuronal metabolic activity in AbPP-PS1 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Biosci Vol. 42, No. 3, September 2017, pp. 363–371.
- Charakacharaya, Charak Samhita edited with Vidhyotini Tika, Viman Sthana Chapter /116, Editor Pt. Rajeshwar Dutt Shastri, Chowkhamba Bharti Academy, Varanasi, reprint 2017, Page-677.
- 13. Bhavaprakasha Nighantu Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrita Bhawan, 2012 Haritakyadi varga- 53-58.
- 14. Prof. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Elements of Rasayana Therapy in Ayurveda.
- 15. Singh N, Kulshresta VK, Shrivastava RK, Kohli RP, Analeptic activity of some alkaloids, J Res Indian Med, 8(1), 1973,1-3.
- 16. N. N. Rege et al, Adaptogenic properties of six rasayana herbs used in Ayurvedic medicine Phytother Res. 1999 Jun;13(4):275-91.

- 17. Talekar Manisha, Mandal Sisir Kumar, Sharma Reetu; Rasayana as a Public Health Tool in Communicable Diseases: A Review; International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine, 2015, 6(1), 1-7.
- 18. Jin Z, Borjihan G, Zhao R, Sun Z, Hammond GB, Uryu T. Antihyperlipidemic compounds from the fruit of Piper longum L. Phytother Res 2009;23:1194–6.
- 19. Bhavaprakash Nighantu, Edition-1998; Verse-53-58, Page- 15-16
- 20. Charakacharaya, Charak Samhita edited with Vidhyotini Tika, Sutra Sthana Chapter 27/231-232, Editor Pt. Rajeshwar Dutt Shastri, Chowkhamba Bharti Academy, Varanasi, reprint 2017, Page-552.
- 21. Sushruta Acharya, Sushruta Samhita edited with Ayurveda tattva sandipika, Sutra Sthana, Chapter 45/96, editor Kaviraja Ambika Dutta Shastri, Chowkhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Reprint; 2018, Page-228.

- 22. Sushruta Acharya, Sushruta Samhita edited with Ayurveda tattva sandipika, Sutra Sthana, Chapter 45/132, editor Kaviraja Ambika Dutta Shastri, Chowkhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Reprint; 2018, Page-232.
- 23. Najafi M, Shaseb E, Ghaffary; 2010. Traditional and Modern Uses of Natural Honey in Human Diseases: A Review; Iran J Basic Med Sci; 2013 Jun; 16(6): 731–742.
- 24. L.M. Chepulis, N.J. Starkey, J.R. Waas, P.C. Mohan et al; 2009]; The Effects of Long-Term Honey, Sucrose or Sugar-Free Diets on Memory and Anxiety in Rats; Physiol Behav. 2009 Jun 22;97 (3-4):359-68.
- 25. O.H.Oyefuja, E.O. Ajani, B.A. Salau et al; 2012, Honey consumption and its anti-ageing potency in white Wister albino rats. Scholarly Journal of Biological Science November 2012, Vol. 1(2), pp. 15-19.

Cite this article as:

Priyankisha, Vijay Chaudhary, Manik Soni. A Clinical Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of Amalakavleha as Rasayana in Healthy Individuals. AYUSHDHARA, 2020;7(5):2918-2930.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

Disclaimer: AYUSHDHARA is solely owned by Mahadev Publications - A non-profit publications, dedicated to publish quality research, while every effort has been taken to verify the accuracy of the content published in our Journal. AYUSHDHARA cannot accept any responsibility or liability for the articles content which are published. The views expressed in articles by our contributing authors are not necessarily those of AYUSHDHARA editor or editorial board members.

SHOHAF